

Re: Epistemology 201: The Science of Science

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.cognitive/2005-03/2498.html>

From: Lester Zick (lesterDELzick_at_worldnet.att.net)

Date: 03/23/05

Date: Wed, 23 Mar 2005 19:07:11 GMT

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 17:52:57 +0100, Giuseppe Bilotta
<bilotta78@hotpop.com> in comp.ai.philosophy wrote:

>Albert Wagner wrote:

>> > *I would like to hear a defition of 'infinite' by you.*

>>

>> *I am not attempting to perform mathematical operations on the*

>> *concept 'infinite' as if it were a number; You are. I refuse to*

>> *accept your burden of proof. Nice sidestep, but it fails.*

>

>*You are the one sidestepping. I didn't ask you to perform*

>*operations on it. I asked you to give a definition. So the one*

>*sidestepping is you.*

The definition for infinity is that it is undefined.

>(And I'm not even remarking how people (like you) have refused

>to acknowledge negatives and irrationals as "numbers", not to

>talk about the imaginary *shiver* numbers.)

>

>--

>Giuseppe "Oblomov" Bilotta

>

>Can't you see

>It all makes perfect sense

>Expressed in dollar and cents

>Pounds shillings and pence

> (Roger Waters)

Regards – Lester