

Re: Maine moves to cut greenhouse gases

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2004-06/1176.html>

From: Brandon Berg (bberg_at_cesmail.net)

Date: 06/20/04

Date: Sun, 20 Jun 2004 22:59:46 GMT

Psalm 110 wrote:

> <http://www.pressherald.com/news/state/040619greenhouse.shtml>

>

> *A small fraction of a daily large volume of publication, may
> constitute fair use even when the entirety of a small fraction has
> been copied intact, so has declared United States Federal Courts. The
> tests of exceeding fair use include monetary value obtained by the
> copier (none here), plus monetary value lost to the copyright holder's
> ability to market this material to the same audience (none here), plus
> totality of copyrighted collection from which the fair use is excerpted
> (miniscule portion of daily volume published), plus clear scientific
> or educational value (large value as specimen of persuasion type).*

Nonsense. The work is the article, not the daily output of the AP, and copying an entire article intact is every bit as much a copyright violation as copying a poem from a book. Furthermore, the AP charges for access to their archives, and the site to which you linked has ads, so they'd probably have a good case for monetary damages, as well. Finally, since you haven't actually commented on the article, your claims of educational purposes are questionable. It's unlikely that they'd come after you anyway, but posting this "fair use" nonsense certainly doesn't help, and it may even give the copyright holders cause to single you out as an example.