

Re: The 'working poor' scam

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2004-07/0412.html>

From: Johnny Marcos (johnny5_at_yahoo.com)

Date: 07/07/04

Date: Wed, 07 Jul 2004 06:13:00 GMT

royls@telus.net wrote in news:40eb1e8e.17078074@news.telus.net:

- > *We need to tax them in proportion to the value of the land they hold.*
- > *I don't think we need to tax the hell out of people who own modest*
- > *amounts of land and are using it as productively as anyone else would.*

Rich Kerry, Rich Bush, scylla or charybdis. One is in the catholic church, one in the christian church, one has a vp lawyer, one has a vp oil barron. Are you voting for Nader?

What about pharmaceutical companies that own genetic patents – that is not land – monsanto – that is not land – as we move into a digital and information age does agricultural based theories really apply?

Bill Gates was not a land owner, he was an IP owner. Have you read nueromancer?

- > *Wrong. Landowners who contribute absolutely nothing also get rich,*
- > *and once rich, rapidly get richer. Why are you unwilling to know that*
- > *fact?*

Bill Gates does not own land – he owns mindshare, how do your theories work there?

How many times in the past has anyone tried the theory? Physiocrats had a similar theory right – did they try it in France? If it is best why doesn't the market know that – doesn't the market know what is best?

How many georgists do you have at major economic schools in any country?

- > *Attentive silence from founts of disinformation like you would be a*
- > *start....*

Censorship – ok.

- > *I know how they got into those positions: by offering to sacrifice the*
- > *productive to the rich.*

sci.econ: Re: The 'working poor' scam

How does land tax affect the richest man in the world – Bill Gates? Your theories were created for a time when land ownership was king – they probably were good for rome or egypt or agrarian 1700's USA when they were thought about.

> *"Why get upset about slavery? You're not a slave."*

I am not upset – I just don't see how your theories apply today – the rich are not landowners – the rich own technology.

> *IMO, on balance it is questionable whether he did. Mainly he was just
> in the right place at the right time, and saw how to profit from a
> changing IP environment and others' mistakes.*

Just like the landowners of agrarian societies of the past. Not evil people out to destroy us.

> *The holders of IP monopoli*