

TURMEL: S. David Frankel, Q.C.'s (Queen's Counsel) signature

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2004-12/0941.html>

From: John Turmel (bc726_at_FreeNet.Carleton.CA)

Date: 12/19/04

Date: 19 Dec 2004 23:29:38 GMT

><http://www.voy.com/178771/15951.html>

>*Alberta Senior Crown: Corrupt, Scared, Biased, Mistaken?*

>*Author: Roger M Roeder*

>*Date: 08:55:27 12/17/04 Fri*

RR: Krieger Order invalidates S.7 (commonly known as cultivation)

<http://www.cyberclass.net/turmel/kriego1.jpg>

<http://www.cyberclass.net/turmel/kriego2.jpg>

Crown. S. David Frankel submits an Appeal for the Krieger Court Order decision to counter the legality of cannabis.

<http://www.cyberclass.net/turmel/kriegcm.txt>

Krieger Supreme Court Order (Crown denied appeal of 7.1 (commonly known as cultivation))

<http://www.cyberclass.net/turmel/kriegscc.jpg>

Crown S. David Frankel loses the appeal. The Krieger Court Order stating cannabis cultivation is legal is now the law of all of Canada.

Constitution Act: (Note section 32 and 52) explains that with cultivation/possession struck down in Alberta it has to be the same as other Provinces and Territories for every citizen.

Crown represents that Krieger is only in Alberta and Parker is only in Ontario, that same level Provincial Judges can set aside/void Court Orders from other parts of Canada contrary to Constitution Act.

That for Krieger the Crown also represents that a Lower Provincial Alberta Court can set aside the top court in Alberta.

http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/const/annex_e.html

The questions that beg asking are:

Did S. David Frankel notify his superiors of the law change upon the loss of Appeal?

JCT: I forget who was Minister of Justice on Dec 04 2002. And who was Minister on March 15 when Justice Pitt first opined that the Government had not yet complied with the Parker's court's ruling, later proven correct. Lara Speirs knew then but rather than stop the prosecutions that later had to be stayed, she moved to set aside Pitt J's righteous decision in the wrong court and won allowing herself to believe that Pitt's opinion didn't count and was therefore wrong. We know now he was right and she hid it with her kangaroo court with Alan Young.

RR: Does S. David Frankel believe that the Constitution and Charter of Rights represents that Canadian sovereign citizens can have different Federal Laws within different Provinces? If S. David Frankel did tell his superior, what did his superior do????

JCT: And he did not, should he go to jail?

RR: If S. David Frankel did not notify his superior of the law change, then why not?

Does S. David Frankel still believe that an Alberta Lower Court can set aside (overrule, overturn), the top Court in Alberta?

JCT: Let him lead with the O'Leary over-ruling the Supreme Court of Canada alibi on his own.

RR: When the Appeal was denied by the Supreme Court of Canada, IT CANNOT GO ANY HIGHER. The Krieger Court Order becomes valid which states that cultivation is legal. THE ONLY WAY FOR CANNABIS TO BE ILLEGAL NOW IS IF THE GOVERNMENT PASSES NEW LEGISLATION AND PASSES THE NEW LAW.

JCT: But if no one announces to the police that the law has been repealed, if the Crown Attorneys who know what the Supreme Court of Canada decision means do not announce it to their political masters, if they do and their masters tell them to hush it up with the collusion of the CanWest Global Prostitutes, if no one knows, does that mean it's not so?

RR: Why are people constantly held to fight charges by police and Crown when the law no longer exist?

sci.econ: TURMEL: S. David Frankel, Q.C.'s (Queen's Counsel) signature

JCT: Ignorance of the law is no excuse? Unless you're the law?

RR: A penny for Mr Frankels' thoughts.

JCT: They'll come out when he is deposed under oath, won't they?

Roger Roeder

502-607 Heritage Drive Kitchener, Ontario

519-894-3358 DISTRIBUTION OF ALL OR IN PART IS AUTHORIZED.

>Virgil Post #59

>Date: Dec 18 2004, 12:56 PM

V: Thanks D&L for adding some life to this thread.

Message1569 just went up and it informs us that Pierre Drouin did not make his court date in Cochrane because of a storm. It mentions a Real Martin in the same sentence. It seems like each new brain attached to the laws are dead philosophy is a good sign.

JCT: They're the Cochrane Dynamic Duo. The newspaper still hasn't reported on their S.7 Krieger cultivation challenge. The media black-out reaches even the Northern Ontario sticks.

V: Message1569 is a display of wrath for what the Crown and Attorney General Frankel have done hiding the death of the CP laws. The motion by Mike South on Monday will ask that Frankel be held in contempt and explains that the judge has the power to release all inmates that find themselves in the same position as Mike South. There is blame enough for the Supreme Court that had knowledge of the situation and the proof is in their own words in the Krieger decision they made on December 23, 2003.

JCT: You have to wonder what the Chief Justice thought when her ruling affirming the highest court in Alberta striking down the Cultivation and Possession prohibitions came out and the cops didn't stop busting! What would be the duty of a judge who sees the process of the court ignored and held in contempt? Nothing so far.

V: Anyone concerned with eliminating corruption in government in Canada should be following this story. Anyone concerned with ending a massive fraud and injustice should follow this story and broaden its audience.

JCT: The numbers of victims is incredible, isn't it?

V: What gets me as a follower of the cannabis story for over 3 years is that we all knew the laws were dead when the courts in May of 2003 finally said so. Even after all the appeals of October 7th by the OCA, everyone was on the bandwagon that only parliament could restore the laws. The Supreme Court ruling of December 23, 2003 must have sidelined people's thinking and the issue of constitutionality of CP itself was a big topic even before the rulings. I cannot get over how 14 months have passed and the issue is still alive.

JCT: I didn't really find out what Krieger meant until Tom Wloca and I made the trek to Ottawa to pick up a copy of the Crown's arguments. I only checked out how the Calgary media misrepresented the story last week. And now, they're going into the newest kits.

TURMEL: S. David Frankel, Q.C.'s (Queen's Counsel) signature

sci.econ: TURMEL: S. David Frankel, Q.C.'s (Queen's Counsel) signature

V: I did mention the situation in a comment on a Canadian situation on the front-page at marijuana.com I will try to work it in at FreeRepublic before Monday.

JCT: If CNN asks CBC or CTV what's going on in the Mike South complaint about the Crown trying him under a dead law, they'll have to come.

>ron Post #60

>Dec 18 2004, 01:24 PM

Thanks for the link lifebuds. I'll watch that group too. Usually I feel overwhelmed by the tangled details, in the forest of initials and legalisms.

But this post was like walking along a mountain ridge looking and looking clearly in all directions. John Turmel was eloquent in his proposal to change the frame of the debate.

JCT: It's a bit like judo, isn't it? We've been mainly on defence in the courts and suddenly, we turn from defence to offence and help the opponent with the hip a little more in his own direction until he goes flying. Now that Krieger has been engaged, it's time to go on offence. Make people appreciate that the proper strategy now is righteous indignation at the fraud perpetrated on the court that caused such an incredible screw-up by the courts.

R: How can our inJustice Department continue this immoral persecution when there is no law - and they know it? It's a scandal to arrest 100,000 people on bogus charges.

JCT: I've just published a new S. David Frankel signature page. Since there was tons of space at the bottom, I included the last part of the previous page with the infamous "Paragraph 57" confession of the Attorney General's Bogus Charges Scandal.

R: How much did Frankel, Speirs et al know, and when did they know it? Listen to the engineer and judge for yourself:

>JCT: There has to be a way to win more than just Mike's...

JCT: That's was "Getting out of jail" AND "Getting the Frankel gang" as the new thrust. For all of us from now on. Time to get all our Crown Attorney's charged too! Right? They can read. They're experts. They should have known. Time to really go on offence. Hey, Doug and Laurie, wouldn't you like to get C.A. Greg Smith cited for abuse of process and contempt of court? Let's all start to try. New strategy, right?

>ron Post #61

>Dec 18 2004, 01:26 PM

R: I did mention the situation in a comment on a Canadian situation on the front-page at marijuana.com I will try to work it in at FreeRepublic before Monday.

Do you think we should let Cannabis News know?

JCT: A crowd of reporters in the court-room blows the lid on the Bogus Charges scandal sky-high.

>Virgil Post #62

>Dec 18 2004, 01:44 PM

Ron, I would think that the regular visitors of Cnews would be interested in knowing of the present situation more than any group on the Internet.

JCT: I would think that since they banned Virgil from there, that perhaps the ones who didn't seek out what they were being denied would not be.

V: There is just not a more compelling cannabis story to follow.

JCT: Especially when we've got the bad guys by the balls.
V: Now that you mention it, later this evening I will email Marc Paquette and see if he will contribute his perspective to this thread.. There is a Canadian issue up at Cnews with a Paquette response -
<http://www.cannabisnews.com/news/thread20042.shtml#7>

>budEluv

>Date: Sat Dec 18 2004 12:14 PM

DML: Therefore, if others have tried and failed with the "law is dead" thing,

B: I think that failure is not the applicable word. Look at the reason(s) in the cases already adjudicated upon. It is obvious that the issue is simply that the judges shirked. They avoided the issues addressed within the courts and side-stepped over to the now infamous Hitzig case.

The attempt(s) did not fail. The issues were not addressed.

JCT: Still trying to convince David Malmo-Levine it's better the challenge the CDSA prohibition than the MMAR permission.

B: How is it a failure when an appeal is inevitable and the matter will have to be addressed in a court of higher jurisdiction?

Quote: DML: it might be wise to lead with the "anti-genocide" argument in the future - it really has yet to be the focus of any case.

B: David, (please address this)

I think it really sucks that you MAY be one of the ones who has also turned your back on Terry Parker. You know, the one who originally got the courts to strike down the possession law? Terry is a miracle and a blessing. If you thought your Supreme Court case left you a little jaded, think how HE feels.

Terry has gone under the knife and had his brain hacked into to "help" control his epileptic seizures. The result? Surgery made it WORSE! He has been prescribed dozens of toxic pharma drugs that nearly killed him to "help" is epilepsy. All this has happened because he wasn't "allowed" the only thing that continues to save his life to this day...cannabis.

He has been segregated by the practically the entire med-pot movement...including Alan Young and Alison Myrden. Why have they gone separate ways? Terry is still fighting for his RIGHT TO LIFE.

Fact - EPILEPSY KILLS.

Fact - The only thing that can PREVENT and STOP an epileptic attack is marijuana.

Fact - Turmel has already raised the genocide argument that conclusively shows how many epileptics die per year. These numbers cannot be refuted. It is an official body count.

JCT: But Lederman said death statistics aren't "medical evidence."

B: *FACT?* - The cannabis culture is not an "officially recognized" culture in the eyes of the law, or within the courts... (I could be wrong though)

JCT: Good point. Arguing genocide of epileptics is stronger than genocide of culture if cultural genocide isn't even in the law. But I can't see why David would steer us off onto an argument that can't work in opposition to one that's obvious.

B: How can the fact that epileptics, who are denied marijuana by doctors and government, not be considered

sci.econ: TURMEL: S. David Frankel, Q.C.'s (Queen's Counsel) signature

genocide? Marijuana, the only thing that can prevent and stop attacks that kill epileptics, is a violation of an epileptics right to life. That's why Bruce Ryan and gang had their charges dropped. One of them was epileptic. Based on Terry's 40 year battle...yes, 40 YEARS, Shouldn't Terry be regarded as a hero? I know he is in my books. I know I recognize Aug. 1st, 2001 (the day s.4 died) as TERRY PARKER Day.

JCT: Actually, the law died when the court said it did, on July 31 2001 11:59. Midnight Terry Parker Day was the death of the law, it was the life of the new freedom. Terry Parker Day was our day of liberty. The beast was executed the night before.

B: Why is Terry's name never brought up? Is it another "guilt by association" because he has allied with Turmel? I hope that it's not just that particular and petty reason. When someone who has been fighting for his right to LIVE for the past 40 years, and STILL IS FIGHTING, that is one of the most courageous and admirable things I have ever seen.

>Date: Sun, 19 Dec 2004 04:25:00 +0000
>From: tsunami8972@hotmail.com (tsunami8972)
>Subject: [MedPot-discuss] Re: TURMEL: "Get out of Jail" AND

I think that's a great idea to try to cite David Frankel with contempt. It's bound to hit the judge's gossip line.

JCT: May even break into the major media that there were hundreds of thousands of erroneous convictions too.

T: Whether it hits the main stream press??? I'd be tempted to bet it won't. The blockade is strong.

JCT: You never know when everything comes together or just the right people take initiative. Remember, the world owners say that there is nothing more dangerous than personal initiative which can do more than can be done by the millions amongst whom they've sown discord.

T: We need the engineer with some blasting powder to figure out which abutments to knock out so the whole house of cards of prohibition falls down. Ready on Monday for the first blast. Ready. Sound the siren. Blast. Ready for the second blast.

JCT: Liberation just in time for a Merry Christmas.

T: There's a carrot at the end of the stick John. If you destroy prohibition like you promised you would also destroy Alan Young and the monopolist slime at Cannasat. Think about it. All that money and time and payola they invested down the drain. What a lovely little reward at the end of the day.

JCT: I never considered much of a threat, more of diversion, a way to condemn the Professeur Saboteur for less than his Parker stab in the back and Hitzig resurrection. Is there anyone who can call a criticism for joining the board of a marijuana company anywhere near as bad as what he did to Parker and to all of us with his resurrection. It just gave David Malmo-Levine something weak to attack Alan about to sheep-dip him some more. But I think his true colors have shown through by now.

>Date: Sat, 18 Dec 2004 23:49:40 -0500
>From: ed2411@cogeco.ca (ed pearson)
>Subject: Re: [MedPot-discuss] TURMEL: "Get out of Jail" AND
EP: It sounds great but how do you make proof beyond a reasonable doubt that he read Krieger?

sci.econ: TURMEL: S. David Frankel, Q.C.'s (Queen's Counsel) signature

JCT: He's the attorney who signed the Crown's Memorandum on the Krieger case!

EP: He certainly cannot be compelled to testify against himself no more than can you.

JCT: His signed Memorandum explaining how the Krieger ruling invalidated the prohibition by the highest court in Alberta should be enough.

EP: First Mandamus against Atty. General re Federal Crown prosecutions and against Frankel if he then errs u have him for contempt. Or Prohibition against further prosecutions by Atty. Gen. of Canada.

JCT: Excuse me if I do not read any advice from a guy who missed the fact Frankel signed the government's analysis of the Krieger case. I think I'll decide what I do on my own.

>ron Post #63

>Date: Dec 18 2004, 07:04 PM

R: Sent Cannabis News the following:

Subject: Exciting things are happening in Canada!

Mike South will be filing for a release from jail pending appeal of the non existence of a law against possession (s4.1 - Parker 2001) and cultivation (s4.7 - Kreiger 2002). The judge will be asked to cite for contempt the Ministry of Justice Attorneys and their Attorney General. They knew there was no law left last December. Yet 100,000 people, like Mike South, have been unfairly charged for breaking a non existent law.

JCT: Turmel's one of them who didn't know and he's even madder now.

R: If Mike South's appeal is granted the question of why the media has stood silent about this shameful coverup will have to be answered - or are they that powerful? Not if we don't let them be.

JCT: The Turmel blackout is a fascinating dilemma for the media, isn't it?

R: His court appearance at Osgoode Hall in Toronto on Monday morning should be spot city for bloggers, presstitutes and - dare we hope - presstivisionnaries themselves. I want to see it live on CBC or CPAC Monday morning.

JCT: Ask them, please. Write them, call them, please.

R: I'm a realist though. There's some kind of colluding going on between the inJustice system and the mediocrities to cover up the fact that there is now no law in Cannabia and they're still arresting 150 people a day!

JCT: Maybe not. Maybe the Calgary reporters were so busy taking quotes from the two attorneys that they didn't read the 24 line decision which three times mentioned the cultivation section being struck down. Maybe they really didn't notice?

R: People interested in following this drama should check out this Cannabis Culture thread:

<http://www.cannabisculture.com/forums/show...sb=5&o=&fpart=9>

I remember Marc Paquette parting ways with John last year in CNews, but they seemed friendly in one of John's posts. I'd like to hear his story.

Alan Young teaches at Osgoode Hall. The irony in this unfolding drama is excruciating.

JCT: Yes, it was a law professor who told the Canadian audience that an Ontario Court had brought the prohibition back to life ending the period of legalisation as of 9am this morning. It was a law professor who misled them. A York University Osgoode Hall Law School professor who does not know that Parliament Only Legislations, Court Only

TURMEL: S. David Frankel, Q.C.'s (Queen's Counsel) signature

Abrogates.

R: Will two aces win this pot? Ministers of inJustice often produce a joker from their holes, nevertheless.

JCT: They've got no wildcards. They thought it was a tough battle while we were on defence, imagine what they're feeling now that know we've switched to offence. Bet they're burning the midnight oil at their King & York tower tonight.

R: Will John and Alan discuss their differences in an appropriate setting? And what about Naomi? We could ask Tom Lehrer.

JCT: I do some of his songs. Vatican Rag!

>ron Post #64

>Date: Dec 19 2004, 01:25 AM

R: This dam built by persecutors is going to burst very soon. Maybe Monday.

JCT: Last chance before Christmas.

>Buds_4_Life Post #65

>Date: Dec 19 2004, 09:33 AM

QUOTE: "Will John and Alan discuss their differences in an appropriate setting?"

D & L That's very doubtful, John wouldn't trust him after everything he's done & still doing. Alan had his chance to do the right thing for everyone & chose to line his pockets through Cannasat instead. Alan is the reason the whole country believes the law was resurrected.....a major Paul Revere....D & L

JCT: I'll discuss our differences in online debate any time, any place. He's the guy who on the run.

>Virgil Post #66

>Date: Dec 19 2004, 11:29 AM

V: I am thinking of Turmel the future movie, where there is this showdown resulting in victory at the historic hall of justice in Toronto. I cannot help but have him wear a t-shirt that says. Better kook than crook.

Tomorrow is showtime.

JCT: Young's a spent force. He tried to explain his Cannasat motivations but deferred explaining how his Hitzig case brought the prohibition back to life. Har har har har. All Malmo-Levine's critiques he's ready to answer but my one, he still says we'll have to wait to have that explained, and only if we're nice.

>[http:// www.hempcity.net](http://www.hempcity.net)

>Subject: Cannabis Prohibition is dead in Canada

>Virgil

>Date: Wed Dec 15, 2004 12:33 am

V: The biggest and best cannabis story inside the saga of the Free Cannabis Movement is happening in Canada. It is an amazing story because it is so incredible that it challenges your sense of what is real. There are those that say there is Free Cannabis For Canadians right now because the cannabis prohibition laws became dead on July 31, 2001. This is a well-established historical fact even though it would be May of 2003 before the media would inform the Canadian public of the facts. Several court rulings in May of 2003 forced a media reporting frenzy that the CP laws were dead.

JCT: The media played it up while it was based on the Windsor technicality that eventually lost and lost interest that J.P. got off because of the Parker-Turmel-Paquette merit argument that eventually won.

V: What is strange is that the Crown acknowledges the death

of their precious CP laws, yet there were 100,000 cannabis-
issue convictions when even the Crown admits the laws were
dead.

JCT: I know, Frankel's confession is a god-send.

V: There has been no move by the Crown to right this
injustice.

JCT: Worse, Judge Kenkel in R.v. Peddle said staying charges
based on a null statute is unjust, they must be quashed. So
they stayed the 4000 charges just to add a little greater
measure of injustice even when they lost.

V: They just let the criminal records of good people stay
there even though they were not in violation of any law.

JCT: My Supreme Court Application #0570 asks for that
correction.

V: What is stranger still is how the Crown and the media
have somehow resurrected laws that were struck down by the
courts. What brought the death of the laws in the first
place was Health Canada not providing an epileptic named
Terry Parker with cannabis. On July 31, 2000, the courts
ruled that Terry Parker's right to life under the Charter of
Rights and Freedoms was at risk due to the failure of Health
Canada to provide him access to the cannabis needed because
of his epilepsy. It was Terry Parker in the courts that
first required Health Canada to come up with a MMJ program.
When they failed, he would go back to court to say they
failed. The July 31, 2000 would say that the situation was
unconstitutional and that Terry Parker had a right to supply
and that if Health Canada could not fix its supply problems
in one year for people in need of MMJ, then there would be
Free Cannabis For Everyone. Health Canada to this day
obstructs supplying clinical cannabis and there are 600
approved patients where there are a million in need.

The Crown claims a miracle reincarnation of the dead laws
because of some words in the judgment section of a ruling on
October 7, 2003 in a Hitzig appeal before the Ontario
Supreme Court. The Court did not order a resurrection of
sections of the CDSA and it is the orders of the court that
have meaning. The judgment is only a line of reasoning the
judge is following to come to the orders.

Even if the Ontario Court of Appeals had ordered a
resurrection of the parts of the CDSA, it is beyond their
authority. It takes a legislature to pass laws and since no
new legislation has come, there are no laws against
possession, cultivation, or trafficking of cannabis in
Canada.

It is my belief that it is just another fraud inside the
massive fraud that is Cannabis Prohibition itself. The court
case that could break the story open could come as early as
Friday with a decision to quash the charges against Mike
South (Pierre Drouin & Real Martin) in Cochrane. The
appointed council says that either she or her partner will
make Canadian law history with this attempt to quash for
Mike South. It is at the end of message1552 of Turmel at
YahooGroups.

It is an all but unbelievable story that I have been
following for some time. You can read the story by John
Turmel at

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/MedPot/message/1551>

Turmel is the one writing the messages at yahoo. There is
thread at the website created by people that ran the John
Kerry website that is following this amazing story at

<http://www.commongroundcommonsense.org/index.php?showtopic=6332>

sci.econ: TURMEL: S. David Frankel, Q.C.'s (Queen's Counsel) signature

I hope you hear that the CP laws in Canada are dead very soon- and maybe on Friday.

My creed is to heed the need to free the weed, dweeb. Let the prohibitionists say what they will, then ask, "How will things be different when Miracle Plant is regulated?"

emporer

Date: Thu Dec 16, 2004 3:30 pm

Subject: Turmel Time

One of the cannabis related websites I participate in is out of Amsterdam at HempCity.net.

You might be interested in the thread going on in:

<http://www.cannabisculture.com/forums/showflat.php?Cat=&Number=1006982&Main=1002863#Post1006982>

David Malmo Levine and Eco2man have been cat fighting the past few days, but guess what? - they both agree Turmel is a kook. They offer no substantive answers but have provoked several posters to eloquent defense of the engineering paper pusher.

Everybody's expecting the thread to be struck down, but at least the Cannabis Press is naming his name. The Prince might even make a statement.

The court case that could break the story open could come as early as Friday with a decision to quash the charges against Mike South in Cochrane.

Mike South is appearing in Toronto court. His case will be heard Monday. Cochrane is a mining town, a few hundred miles to the north. It involves Pierre Drouin, another of Turmel's coachees. Try:

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/MedPot/message/1520>

and

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/MedPot/message/1533>

>Virgil

>Date: Fri Dec 17, 2004 6:36 am

>Subject: Thanks for that input

It is somewhat confusing figuring out what Turmel is saying because it involves people and court cases that are presented so casually in his writing.

The idea of kook is not relevant. It was Turmel that engineered the defeat of the possession and cultivation laws in the Parker decision made by Judge Pitt. The subject is discussed in the thread at Common Ground Common Sense using Alan Young's own words.

This is really somewhat of a test for our ability to communicate news throughout the world using the Internet. This is important stuff that Turmel is spouting and it only has three places on the Internet exploring the subject that is definitely the subject of a media blackout in Canada. Does anyone argue that 100,000 people were convicted in the period from August 1, 2001 to October 7, 2003? Even the Crown does not dispute the laws were dead during this time and what has the Crown done to remedy the injustice.

There is plenty of stink in all of this no matter how you look at it. Of course if what Turmel says is true, we should know soon enough. The reason it has taken this long is because we as a reform community did not get the story out for people's defense before the courts in Canada. Now there are people presenting the proper arguments and in some ways our discussion in the three known threads on the subject, including this one, are not as important as it once was. It is still a great perspective to see through Turmel's

TURMEL: S. David Frankel, Q.C.'s (Queen's Counsel) signature

10

sci.econ: TURMEL: S. David Frankel, Q.C.'s (Queen's Counsel) signature

eyes. This is a story of giant fraud and media blackout. The reason I put up a thread here is so that people might have a chance to latch on to the story as it unfolds now that it is crunch time.

JCT: Sumbitch David Frankel's confession is so important that I have put together on 1 page all that is needed to torpedo his boat:

<http://www.cyberclass.net/turmel/frankel.bmp>

<http://www.cyberclass.net/turmel/frankel.jpg>

Go read it and enjoy the Supreme Court's answer:

<http://www.cyberclass.net/turmel/kriegscc.bmp>

<http://www.cyberclass.net/turmel/kriegsc2.bmp>

Let's all pray there's a good judge on the roster tomorrow.

--

Abolitionist Slave Leader John C. "The Banking Systems Engineer" Turmel for UNILETS interest-free time-based currency in U.N. resolution C6 to Governments in the <http://www.un.org/millennium/declaration.htm>
<http://www.cyberclass.net/turmel> 519-753-0645 USENET: can.politics