

Re: The monopolization of the air and Georgism

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2005-01/1112.html>

quirk_at_syntac.net

Date: 01/17/05

Date: 17 Jan 2005 12:14:43 -0800

ruetheday@outgun.com wrote:

> *I'm not a particularly fervent fan of pollution taxation.*

I understand that Pollution is an externality, I also have doubts about a pollution tax, which brings me to the question I asked.

Since a machine that poisons the air is exactly the same as a machine that captures the air. And since you have indicated that you are against the later, what is your proposal for the former.

I know that you raised this a comparison with monopolizing land, that you weren't actually intending to discuss air capturing, but since I agree with you regarding land, I won't let you weasel out of the air question so easily.

Look at the industrial skyline, look at the back end of the automobiles on the street, the air capture machine is actually here and actually capturing your air.

What do geoists propose we do about it?