

TURMEL: Virgil adds pressure to the Krieger cooker

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2005-01/1735.html>

From: John Turmel (bc726_at_FreeNet.Carleton.CA)

Date: 01/30/05

Date: 30 Jan 2005 14:03:23 GMT

<http://www.commongroundcommonsense.org/index.php?showtopic=6332&st=140>

From: Virgil

Date: Jan 29 2005, 09:23 PM

This Saturday Turmel is up to Message1651. In 1651 Turmel presents the three court events of next week.

Tuesday will be the Johnson's Krieger challenge at Elliot Lake, British Columbia.

On Wednesday the 2nd Turmel will go to court for himself on his section 5 trafficking charge involving the 3 kilos he was distributing on Parliament Hill. He will move to quash the charges. This publicity stunt was after the May, 2003 rulings that forced the media to acknowledge the laws were dead.

Then Thursday the 3rd, the grower of 4000 plants in Quebec, Dominic Gravel, will file a motion to be released because of the uncertainty that there is a law to charge him with.

The Quebec media have given some coverage to the challenges on the existence of the laws and they are the real highlight of the past week. They may not have fully grasp the immenseness of the implications, but they are aware that something big is in front of them. The Krieger challenge is all just a stew waiting for doneness.

Message 1650 concerns the media finally covering what the Johnsons are saying except that the report is deficient because it says they are only challenging the existence of possession laws and omits the fact that Krieger struck down cultivation laws. It is the news from Quebec with Turmel in the aid of Gravel that has done some damage already.

Last Thursday, Turmel was at the hearing for Gravel. Gravel should have dropped his attorney for the offense challenges, but did not. If Gravel was representing himself, the Crown would have been responsible for filling out the form to get him to court. Since, he did not drop the attorney, it was his attorney's responsibility to get the form filled out for delivery of Gravel to court. The attorney did not fill out the form and Gravel did not make it to court. All of that is off until this Thursday.

Turmel has past experience with many of the players that show up in court. Turmel was born in Quebec and speaks French. He knew Gravel's attorney, Jacques Belley, from earlier days. These are Turmel's words at <http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/MedPot/message/1650>

>So I went to the Registry to check how it works for prisoners without lawyers and lo and behold, there's Jacques Belley... I'd much prefer having a senior attorney who admits he and the bench were taken in by the scam to the kid who has to convince the bench and the bar blew it all alone.

So there's no story other than the story has been put off till next week but can't be ducked.

JCT: It's the big one all right. Kid Gatineau tells me that Krieger has hit the prison grape-vine. They're calling their lawyers to find out about it because they didn't know the law was dead when they were convicted. So you can see how the prisoners would get excited as the news starts down the prison grapevine but how the lawyers would be less so. Dominic says they're all scared to comment on it but everybody's waiting to see what happens on Thursday. Dom's starting to get a taste of how his "second biggest garden" is going to be the "biggest cause celebre" Quebec has ever seen. I've heard there are at least 4 more prisoners who will get out if Krieger is found to rule in the nation of Quebec if not the nation of Canada. Yet. Let Quebec lead the way and show those Anglos that no bunch of Ontario judges are going to foist their new resurrected law on Franco-Quebec when our National elected Parliament still has trouble. No, I don't think the Hitzig judge's resurrection is going to go over very well if the Crown tries to bring it up. Har har har har.

"Votre seigneurie, c'est vrai que la loi etait tombee mais les Anglos juges Doherty (Irlande?), Simmons (Angleterre?) et Goudge (Angleterre?) nous ont ecrit une nouvelle." Har har har har.

"My Lord, it's true that the law was repealed but Anglo judges Doherty (Ireland?), Simmons (England?) and Goudge (England?) have written us up a new one." Har har har har.

>Virgil Post #141

>Date: Jan 29 2005, 11:46 PM

Ron, I finally got around to putting something up at rabble.ca. They call their forums babble and here is a foot in the water there—

<http://www.rabble.ca/babble/ultimatebb.cgi...f=5&t=001427&p=>

JCT: I've posted this article below as Appendix A:

And I much appreciate your getting the word out, Virgil. But now that it's hit the prison grapevine for people whose very freedom now rests on whether Krieger rules in Quebec, I don't think it's possible for the story to stay buried. I just hope Judge Serre is reading this so she realizes that if she pulls the Judges' Nazi Nuremberg Defence "I was just following Orders" (Hitzig), only 2 days later there will be a judge ruling on the same issue in a higher profile case who is not bound by that unjust genocidal Order from above.

Actually, if it can break the Canada national news before she rules on Tuesday, if she finds out that Judge Rogers has reserved on the S.5(2) Spirit and Letter of the law arguments for Ethier in Sturgeon Falls, and that a Superior Court judge in a province not bound by the unjust Order from above for Gravel is coming up, I don't think she'd take the Johnson case too lightly.

Besides, if she says no, they're back in Superior Court for a Prohibition Order, a la Nielsens, 3 days later. It's not as if they're going to be able to keep the Johnsons out of the news. Now that they wrote their first report in the Elliot Lake Standard, every new move will inevitably get covered.

V: I also put something up at NORML.ca forums—
<http://normlcanada.org/forum/viewtopic.php?t=275> It sure seems like it is worthy of discussion for a NORML website.

JCT: I've added that message as appendix B

V: The guy that needs to be interviewed now if there were such thing as a newsworthy media would be with Frankel.

JCT: Hw true. I called the editor of the National Capital News in Ottawa and suggested he give Frankel a call, in case he's based in Ottawa across the street from the Supreme Court of Canada where he argues.

V: In 1644 Turmel is talking about breaking the media silence in Quebec. The heading of the message is "CHOT-TVA Gilles Mailloux breaks "S.7 is dead" story!!" The lead paragraph is

>- *It's official. Quebec knows that Dominic Gravel is challenging the his incarceration on the grounds that the S.7 cultivation prohibition is dead!*

JCT: No mention of Krieger yet but S.7 was clearly defined as the target statute that we're having the funeral for.

V: In Message1644, Turmel says that the Krieger decision was not appealed to the Supreme Court-

>*They didn't even appeal Krieger within 30 days by Jan 4 2003. David and Harvey were waiting to hear the results of Harvey's Parker-Hitzig-Turmel Lederman decision on Jan 9. So they missed their deadline to apply for leave to appeal.*

JCT: I meant it wasn't appealed on time. They applied for and an got an extension of time a few months later which is why the Frankel Memorandum is dated May 16, 2 days after I thwarted his hopes of new Parliamentary legislation, and not within 30 days of December 4 2002 when the court ruled. Five and half months later they got their application in. And then lost. But what was the cultivation section like between Jan 4 2003 and when they launched their appeal in May? So they didn't appeal, like Parker, and there should have been a proclamation that it was now official and the law books were going to be changed, but since it's also David Frankel's Ministry of Justice job to inform the Criminal Code printers when the law is struck, when he didn't do it, nobody did. And had the new legislation been introduced on May 15, he'd have been off the hook for not ordering a new print job for the months between Jan 4 2003 when they officially missed their appeal deadline and whatever date the new legislation would be passed. It would have been seamless with no print job ever showing that S.4 or S.7 were ever erased.

Actually, that is a fascinating point. Since the Minister of Justice can't show one example of the printed law reflecting the court's rulings, isn't that one heck of a contempt?

V: I thought the Krieger Ace was that the Supreme Court turning down the appeal.. This paragraph in Message1644-
<http://tinyurl.com/6qkqn> -

JCT: Yes, that's still what Turmel calls the Ace. King is a 3-judge panel of a provincial Court of Appeal, they can kill laws for sure, and Queen is a 1-judge ruling. They can

kill laws within their province for sure.

>And he almost got away with it when his rejection by the Supreme Court got buried by the Clay–Caine–Malmo–Levine recreational use losses! What a perfect cover for his loss. Except Turmel noticed the fourth case on the list, dug out Frankel's memorandum admitting S.7 was dead and dug out that no one knew because it didn't make the news. And the rest of his nightmare is history.

JCT: Frankel had received his 60–day extension of time in March so that had the new legislation been introduced on May 15, he wouldn't have had to sign his Memorandum of culpability on May 16 for filing with the Application for Leave to appeal on May 20. Had the new legislation come in, the Krieger gap would have been filled and he would no longer need to continue any appeal on a moot issue. He was 1 day away from getting his new legislation introduced when the "Liberal" Minister called it off. Har har har har.

Appendix A:

http://www.rabble.ca/babble/ultimatebb.cgi?ubb=get_topic&f=5&t=001427&p=

babble: The Cannabis laws in Canada are dead
walking the talk; activism;
The Cannabis laws in Canada are dead

Topic: The Cannabis laws in Canada are dead
Author: Virgil
recent–rabble–rouser
Babbler # 8053 posted 30 January 2005 01:02 AM

I will attend this thread and try to add as much information as requested. I would hope that some of the writers at Rabble would view this subject worthy of study and reporting.

Many Canadians might remember the fall of possession laws with the media outburst that came in May of 2003, with court rulings that acknowledged that the possession laws were of no force and effect since August 1, 2001. That is still true and section 7 cultivation laws were struck down with a Krieger ruling in Alberta. Legal cultivation implies an absurdity of law regarding trafficking in Section 5 of the CDSA.

The courts move slowly, but now the situation is approaching a revelation that the media can no longer hide. I would just like to mention two threads that will tell all about the situation and give sources of information. One is HempCity–
<http://www.hempcity.net/forum/viewtopic.php?t=517> The other

is CommonSenseCommonGround-

<http://www.commongroundcommonsense.org/index.php?showtopic=6332&st=120>

This is a huge story kept completely off radar by the media until some humble coverage this last week in two court cases.

There were 100,000 cannabis convictions when even the Crown and the media acknowledge the death of the possess laws and nobody has done anything to clear their records.

I hate to be brief about something that I believe is just the most gigantic of stories of corruption and media complicity in Canadian history. It is just that a lot of what has happened is already been recorded on the Internet and a word for the curious should be sufficient.

Appendix B:

www.norml.ca ::

The National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws in Canada

Forum Index -> Canadian Activism

Virgil

Date: Sat Jan 29, 2005 10:56 pm

The dead cannabis laws in Canada and the upcoming stink I recognize some names here and this subject will not be totally new to some. Everyone should be familiar with the rulings of May, 2003 that said possession laws died on August 1, 2001. There is also a Krieger case from Alberta that struck down the section 7 of the CDSA cultivation laws. I am one that believes that there are no laws against possession and cultivation of cannabis in Canada and that the courts are about ready to rule that trafficking is an absurdity with the advent of Free Cannabis For Canadians. I do not see much point in going on as there are two threads that chronicle the events of the last few months. One is at HempCity-

<http://www.hempcity.net/forum/viewtopic.php?p=2044#2044> and the other is at CommonGroundCommonSense-

<http://www.commongroundcommonsense.org/index.php?showtopic=6332&st=120>

The court events of the first week in February might break the media silence just as rulings in May of 2003 created a media outburst. The scandal has grown as the Crown has prosecuted and convicted well over 100,000 Canadians with a law that does not exist. There is a big stink coming on both the media and the Crown.

JCT: Thanks loads for helping smash the prohibition dam. Getting the already-declared-unconstitutional-in-Krieger declared no longer known to law for the past 2 years kills all marijuana charges everywhere instantly.

Then we push back another two years and free and erase all those abuses.

Then we pushback another couple of decades to when we got our Charter rights to free and erase all those abuses.

Then we go back to 1923 when the stupid genocidal law was introduced and apologize to anyone hurt by the trade in the world's best medicinal herb.

--

Abolitionist Slave Leader John C. "The Banking Systems Engineer" Turmel

sci.econ: TURMEL: Virgil adds pressure to the Krieger cooker

for UNILETS interest-free time-based currency in U.N. resolution C6
to Governments in the <http://www.un.org/millennium/declaration.htm>
<http://www.cyberclass.net/turmel> 519-753-0645 USENET: can.politics