

## Re: Soc.Sec. We're all with Bush

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2005-02/0800.html>

---

**From:** sinister (*sinister\_at\_nospam.invalid*)

**Date:** 02/10/05

Date: Thu, 10 Feb 2005 01:37:36 GMT

<Big Dog> wrote in message

news:g8cl01d63tg59ldtbd1jsumc7fbtfuisre@4ax.com...

> *On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 02:46:21 GMT, "sinister" <sinister@nospam.invalid>*

> *wrote:*

>

>>

>><Big Dog> wrote in message

>

> *[edited]*

>

>>>>*Nah, mostly because it would prevent the Rethuglicans from using payroll*

>>>>*taxes as a way to fund general government operations.*

>>>>

>>>>*That was a nonpartisan plan, and is a nonpartisan activity.*

>>

>>*No, moron. I'm referring to the Rethuglican plan to use payroll taxes to*

>>*fund general government operations, and then not pay back the money*

>>*borrowed*

>>*from the trust fund.*

>

> *And you think this is a activity unique to Republicans?*

>

> *What a sad day.*

Why don't you consider the evidence?

How many Republicans say the SS Trust Fund bonds "can't" be honored in the future? How many Democrats?

>

> *Thanks,*

> *Big Dog*

>

>