

Re: An admonition

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2005-08/msg00416.html>

- *From:* Les Cargill <lNOcargill@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 30 Aug 2005 01:54:02 GMT
-

The Trucker wrote:

"Les Cargill" <lNOcargill@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:nAOpe.64064\\$Yx1.50168@xx](news:nAOpe.64064$Yx1.50168@xx)

Mason A. Clark wrote:

We sometimes lapse our logic.

The phenomenons of "global warming" , "globalization of economies" ,
"outsourcing" , etcetera are **not** the decisions of corporations.

They are the decisions of governments --
politicians -- (under the influence of
corporations perhaps). But in the most
important nations -- democracies -- the
politicians are **chosen** by the people.
George W. Bush being an outstanding
example, Bill Clinton another.

Don't blame the corporations for what the **people** decide.

Mason C the solution is?

Good luck selling that line of thought. I'd agree,
by the way.

Re: An admonition

In this country there are two parties that dominate (or there is, at least, the appearance of two parties). Viable candidates are selected based on how much money they can raise for The Party. So these people who "decide" will be deciding between which of the two bought and paid for politicians they can stomach. These are the only sows in the pen because that is what the TwoParty does by design and intent.

Right. And the alternative is a system of coalition governments, such as in Italy.

The United States was not actually designed to work that way.

But this way actually works. Isn't the old saw "laws and sausages..."?

Neither the people who drafted or the>> folks that
> ratified the Constitution wanted or intended
this sorry result.

By the time Jefferson was elected, there were two parties. England had two or more parties, essentially two.

> And it happened due to various
cracks in the masonry. The 16th and 17th amendments destroyed states rights and the decision by the House to limit membership to 435 sealed the control of the TwoParty.

It also kept the House manageable. The level of population growth was not something anyone could have anticipated.

Re: An admonition

Also, FWIW, the House assures the rural states are *over* represented, which puts things on more a plebescite footing than would party organs from urban centers running things. It creates Centers of Pork Excellence, but there's certainly representation.

The common people are not represented and they have no chance of ever being represented until the limit to House Membership is changed to reflect the intent of the Constitution.

Poll data consistently show the common people *are* represented, and the person arguably most adept at interpreting opinion poll data in favor of getting his candidate elected is none other than Karl Rove.

Carville showed smiliar talent, so the Dems ... don't use him anymore. *Sigh*.

I'm sorry; it is not true that present elected officials are not representative. That's a much easier way to look at it, but it simply isn't true.

--
Les Cargill

.