

Re: "The value of land is mostly improvements"

Re: "The value of land is mostly improvements"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2005-12/msg00089.html>

- *From:* "ruetheday@xxxxxxxxxx" <ruetheday@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 7 Dec 2005 13:57:09 -0800
-

>The value of land is mostly improvements (you know, such as
>buildings)

It depends on where you live. If you live in rural Montana where land sells for a couple thousand dollars per acre, the above is likely to be true. If you live in Manhattan, the polar opposite is true.

.

- *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ *Re: "The value of land is mostly improvements"*
 ◇ *From:* zzbunker
- ◆ *Re: "The value of land is mostly improvements"*
 ◇ *From:* Ron Peterson
- ◆ *Re: "The value of land is mostly improvements"*
 ◇ *From:* Mark Monson

- *References:*

- ◆ *"The value of land is mostly improvements"*
 ◇ *From:* sinister

- Prev by Date: *Re: What can be done to curb illegal Mexican immigration*
- Next by Date: *Re: Bush and O'Reilly, in denial.*
- Previous by thread: *"The value of land is mostly improvements"*
- Next by thread: *Re: "The value of land is mostly improvements"*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*