

Re: Health insurance

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2006-02/msg00013.html>

- *From:* "Sgt.Sausage" <someone@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 1 Feb 2006 19:22:59 -0500
-

"nospam" <nospam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:8_GdnSV4oaPFOkPenZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx
> Sgt.Sausage wrote:
>
>>
>> "Michael Scheltgen" <mjs818@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
>> [news:oGkCf.454838\\$ki.237083@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:oGkCf.454838$ki.237083@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)
>>> Indeed, this was once the case in North America -- doctors charged the
>>> poor little, if anything, for services and charged the wealthy more to
>>> subsidize his treating the poor.
>>>
>>> I don't think this makes anyone a socialist.
>>
>>
>> It does when you *force* it upon the docs through some
>> government run sham called "universal healthcare".
>
> No it doesn't. Socialism is when there is no private owned business,
> but all the production means are owned by community.

And what, exactly, are the "productions means" for a doc?

You are contolling the doc's means of production by telling him what he can & can't charge. You *own* his means of production.

That's Socialism. Even by your above quoted definition.

>> If a doc *chooses* to give charity to the poor, that's
>> fine and dandy. When you *force* it upon him by
>> government mandate, you are a thief.

>
> "Primary care about to collapse, physicians warn"

> http://news.yahoo.com/s/nm/20060130/hl_nm/usa_dc;vlt=AgzEtNjXce86EavStZoVsvms0NUE;ylu=X3oDMTA

>
> The premiums of private health insurance skyrocket so that almost 50 Mil.
> can not afford it.

If I can't afford a new car, I don't buy one.

If you can't afford healthcare, you don't get none. Period. Anything else means you have to *forcibly* take my dollars to pay for your problems.

How is this not theft?

> In meantime the doctors get less and less money forcing
> them out of profession.

Re: Health insurance

If something ain't profitable, it's time to move on to another profession.

Fewer doctors will, ultimately (and without government intervention), lead to higher income for those that remain -- assuming sufficient demand (which, I think we can agree, there is).

> Cutting taxes to pump more money into the pockets
> of this looters, Medicare is in problem too not being able to pay in time.

English, please. I can't parse that one in any way that makes sense.

> This hurt more doctors.
> But who cares? There will always be in our society a gang of rightards
> kissing asses of rich shareholders in insurance companies. They lick shit
> for a living and oppose an universal health care system.

I'm kissing no ones ass. I'm simply a small business owner who doesn't want you, or anyone else sucking off my profits. Mine. I *earned* them. When you have your profits, go ahead and give 'em up if you want. Forcing me to give up mine is, still, nothing more than common theivery.

I'm neither left, nor right, and I'm not kissing anyone's ass.

> The sad part, is that there are people easy to misslead believing this
> lies,
> then they come on usenet to show their ignorance.

Y'all fuckwits took over \$80,000 (U.S.) from my wife and I in 2005 to pay for your bullshit handouts, government pork, unnecessary bombs in an unnecessary war, and god knows what your fuckwit government pukes in washington decided to spend it on. \$80,000. Think about that.

I've given my "fair share" thank you very fucking much. You can't have any more, and if you want to try, I'll meet you at the door with a loaded
..45

.