

Re: Health insurance

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2006-02/msg00027.html>

- *From:* "Sgt.Sausage" <someone@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 2 Feb 2006 12:32:27 -0500
-

"nosпам" <nospam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:sLadnTpmf5CI53zenZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Sgt.Sausage wrote:

"nosпам" <nospam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:8_GdnSV4oaPFOkPenZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Sgt.Sausage wrote:

"Michael Scheltgen"
<mjs818@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
message
[news:oGkCf.454838\\$ki.237083@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:oGkCf.454838$ki.237083@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Indeed, this was once the
case in North America --
doctors charged
the
poor little, if anything, for
services and charged the
wealthy more to
subsidize his treating the
poor.

I don't think this makes
anyone a socialist.

It does when you **force** it upon the docs
through some
government run sham called "universal
healthcare".

Re: Health insurance

No it doesn't. Socialism is when there is no private owned business,
but all the production means are owned by community.

And what, exactly, are the "productions means" for a doc?

Scanner, echograph, operation table and so on.

Those are mere tools to a doc -- his primary means of production are his service, his hours, his experience. A doc does not take raw materials and crank them through his "means of production" to complete a finished product.

His means is his brain, and his hands, and his time. You're **owning** his time.

You are contolling the doc's means of production by telling him what he can & can't charge. You **own** his means of production.

Nope. You can put a limit on the maximum amount he can charge. Private insurance companies do the same, they only don't tell you.

Private insurance companies work on **voluntary** contracts with the docs. The doc can **choose** to be a part of their provider network, or not.

That's Socialism. Even by your above quoted definition.

So private insurance companies are Socialism. Interesting....

Interesting leap of logic. See the voluntary part above.

The premiums of private health insurance skyrocket so that almost 50
Mil.
can not afford it.

If I can't afford a new car, I don't buy one.

Re: Health insurance

Re: Health insurance

But the fact that you drove an older car don't kill you, don't take away your family food and so on.

So? If you can't afford to live, you die. It's absolutely wrong to steal from me (and others) to support your pathetic life.

If you can't afford healthcare, you don't get none. Period. Anything else means you have to *forcibly* take my dollars to pay for your problems.

Anything else means that looters CEOs of Enron & others pay their own fair share.

They are.

Into a society that pay the Exxon CEO 1000 times more than a PhD researcher it is ridiculous

Free market. Ridiculous is to set wage/compensation controls. You will get paid your market value, plus-or-minus a fudge factor for your negotiating skills.

to ask for "fairness of taxation" how long looting is the main mean of getting rich.

Who's the looter?

How is this not theft?

The fact that underqualified people are payed much better than hard working highly educated persons? Yes this IS looting.

In this country, if you don't like your paycheck, you're free to quit today and look for a better job.

However, since this is the system we got, at least we can ask them to pay more.

Re: Health insurance

Re: Health insurance

They do.

In meantime the doctors get less and less money forcing them out of profession.

If something ain't profitable, it's time to move on to another profession.

Fewer doctors will, ultimately (and without government intervention), lead to higher income for those that remain --- assuming sufficient demand (which, I think we can agree, there is).

And in meantime have hundred of thousand deaths. It is not enough for you 18000+ every year. You want more ?

No --- I don't want more deaths. But I want even less for you to take what is mine, so ... if it comes down to a choice of you stealing from me, or letting a few more die, then HELL YES.

The fact of the matter that you can't seem to get through your thick skull is that people die, and resources are limited. I ain't rolling over and giving you my paycheck so some unknown john doe can live, when I might very well need that same paycheck next year for a medical procedure. GET YOUR HANDS OUT OF MY POCKET.

I'm kissing no ones ass. I'm simply a small business owner who doesn't want you, or anyone else sucking off my profits. Mine. I *earned* them. When you have your profits, go ahead and give 'em up if you want. Forcing me to give up mine is, still, nothing more than common theivery.

Then you are just misguided by propaganda.
Into a universal health care system I actually expect for small businesses and self employed to pay less.

Where the fuck is the money going to come from to pay for 50 million non-payers?

Re: Health insurance

Re: Health insurance

The big businesses lose their bargain power.
But for a small business to just get rid of the fixed expenses with health care you actually should be happy.

I'd like it — to get rid of it, BUT NOT AT THE PRICE OF MY
FREEDOM TO CHOOSE WHERE TO SPEND MY MONEY
WHERE I SEE FIT.

The sad part, is that there are people easy to misslead
believing this
lies,
then they come on usenet to show their ignorance.

Y'all fuckwits took over \$80,000 (U.S.) from my wife and I in 2005
to pay for your bullshit handouts, government pork, unnecessary bombs
in an unnecessary war, and god knows what your fuckwit government
pukes in washington decided to spend it on. \$80,000. Think about that.

For this money you actually should be expected to pay less.
Bill Gates will pay for you, but hey, he destroyed Netscape, Stack
Electronics and Digital Research so he can afford that :-)

I DON'T WANT YOU, BILL GATES, SOME GOVERNMENT
AGENCY, OR THE AMERICAN TAXPAYER TO PAY FOR
ME. I CAN PAY MY OWN DAMNED WAY, SO GET YOUR
THEIVING HANDS OUT OF MY POCKETS.