

Re: Health insurance

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2006-02/msg00169.html>

- *From:* nospam <nospam@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 09 Feb 2006 06:54:59 -0500
-

Just Cocky wrote:

More politicians imply more corruption. Anyone that thinks otherwise has been smoking something very, very powerful.

Actually no. Of course you already figured out that what you write does not make any sense. But just in case:

The ability of a briber to influence the outcome by corruption decrease with the number of representatives. There is a certain threshold the briber must pay for a politician to take the risk and be corrupted. This is a personal level. To influence the outcome you must bribe a certain percent of constituency. If the constituency is very large, you have to spend huge amounts of money for that, and it may not be worth. If the constituency is small you can bribe a few and get what you want.

The problem I have with large constituency is the efficiency. I just can not imagine how a 60,000 representatives, crowding Capitol like ants, will actually get anything done :-)