

Re: Definition of "rich"?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2006-04/msg00300.html>

- *From:* peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Peter Bjørn Perlsø)
 - *Date:* Fri, 21 Apr 2006 15:14:16 +0200
-

Just Cocky <just@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Fri, 21 Apr 2006 02:28:47 +0200, peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Peter Bjørn Perlsø) wrote:

Just Cocky <just@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

In a libertarian world, it's only "Government intervention"
IF the
people delegate to Government that job.

What makes you think that government wont damn well do what it pleases?
Do you really think government answers to anybody?

Well, libertarians aren't anarchists.

Not all, some are. I am.

In libertarian land, Government
has as it mandate to protect individual rights and whatever other
functions people delegate to it consistent with the main mandate. In
libertarian land, Government doesn't do as it pleases. That's why
individuals are armed, after all.

Problem is, the western world have over the last few centuries,
especially since WWI, with the spread of democracy, been shown to be the
exact opposite of a libertarian minarchist state. The state has grown
beyond all believable bounds, and individual rights has become a tragic
farce.

Re: Definition of "rich"?

—

regards, Peter Bjørn Perlsø

<http://haxor.dk>

<http://liberterran.org>

<http://haxor.dk/fanaticism/>

.