

Re: Definition of "rich"?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2006-04/msg00373.html>

- *From:* peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Peter Bjørn Perlsø)
 - *Date:* Sat, 22 Apr 2006 12:44:34 +0200
-

Just Cocky <just@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Fri, 21 Apr 2006 15:14:16 +0200, peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Peter Bjørn Perlsø) wrote:

Just Cocky <just@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Fri, 21 Apr 2006 02:28:47 +0200,
peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Peter
Bjørn Perlsø) wrote:

Just Cocky <just@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

In a libertarian world, it's
only "Government
intervention" *IF* the
people delegate to
Government that job.

What makes you think that government wont
damn well do what it pleases?
Do you really think government answers to
anybody?

Well, libertarians aren't anarchists.

Not all, some are. I am.

Re: Definition of "rich"?

Now you are confusing things, man. Libertarians want a small Government. Anarchists want *NO* Government. You can't be both at the same time.

Libertarians operate under the saying "that government governs best, which governs least". Thoreau expanded that to say "...or not at all". Anarchism (anarchocapitalism, that is) is merely libertarianism taken to its logical conclusion.

In libertarian land, Government has as its mandate to protect individual rights and whatever other functions people delegate to it consistent with the main mandate. In libertarian land, Government doesn't do as it pleases. That's why individuals are armed, after all.

Problem is, the western world over the last few centuries, especially since WWI, with the spread of democracy, been shown to be the exact opposite of a libertarian minarchist state. The state has grown beyond all believable bounds, and individual rights has become a tragic farce.

Democracy sucks. I don't like it. Republicanism was an improvement on Democracy but still isn't perfect. Eventually, we'll evolve to a better system. Unfortunately, social change takes time. I'm afraid I wouldn't know what that better system is yet, however.

Agree, with the addition, that we're enduring severe injustices at the hands of democratic rules, who think that because they have the vote of a couple of million voters, they're justified in continuing tax slavery and the oppression that follows. It's sick.

--

regards, Peter Bjørn Perlsø
<http://haxor.dk>
<http://liberterran.org>
<http://haxor.dk/fanaticism/>

.