

## Re: Definition of "rich"?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2006-04/msg00448.html>

---

- *From:* nospam <nospam@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sat, 22 Apr 2006 17:32:08 -0400
- 

Peter Bjørn Perlsø wrote:

You're invoking the "Post Hoc ergo Propter Hoc" fallacy. Just because somethin came *\*after\** something else does not mean it is *\*because\** of this.

Then take this: USSR launched Sputnik and Gagarin. They had in the beginning a big technological advantage in space race. Why USA overpass them ?

The same is valid for microwave technology. When Stalin gave as a gift to US embassy the emblem with passive microwave transmitter, US engineers inspected it and did not figured out what it is. Even when proofs that secret informations goes out they were not able to figure out what it is. Only year later a team of the best US scientists inspecting the device figured out that geeeee, this CAN be a microwave passive transmitter. But 30 years later, US overpassed USSR in microwave technology too. Why this happen ?

No computers. internet, satellites, optical fiber, moon landing. Heck we should still believe the Earth it is the center of the universe and the moons and stars are painted on crystal spheres revolving around the flat Earth which float on the ocean on back of 4 gigant turtles.

Actually, democracy has ponly been widespread since World War I.

But England, France and other European countries along with US had various forms of democracy 200 years ago. And this is from where the technology/scientific advancement and innovation came from.

Coincidence ?

.