

# Re: Capitalism versus Socialism

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2006-06/msg00136.html>

---

- *From:* MichaB Gancarski <[wysyisback@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:wysyisback@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 26 Jun 2006 10:04:14 +0200
- 

On Mon, 26 Jun 2006 09:59:16 +0200, Wells <[2006wells@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:2006wells@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

"Peter Bjørn Perlsø" <[peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:1hhiljj.fhh65zlaptwtN%peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:1hhiljj.fhh65zlaptwtN%peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Michael Scheltgen <[mjs818@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:mjs818@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

- > In fact, none other than Hank Greenberg, former CEO of the huge
- > AIG insurance company, asked for government help to protect
- > insurance companies from catastrophic losses such as those
- > following 9/11. That, too, is "social insurance."

It is not anything new that businesses that do badly come running to Daddy State for de jure monopoly privileges and taxpayer money to prop up their businesses.

How about when Jesse Jackson hits up corporations for money, is that too social insurance?

Yes, in a progressive meaning of the word "social" ;-)

—  
MichaB Gancarski

"If there's not drama and negativity in my life, all my songs will be really wack and boring or something."  
Eminem

.