

Re: Theory of beliefs, belief fields

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2006-12/msg00095.html>

- *From:* "FabrizioJBonsignore" <djbonsignore@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 04 Dec 2006 18:03:03 -0500
-

SOOOO! The Church uses telepathy to generate schizophrenia and uses it very circumstantially to the convenience of the sacred owners OR would be owners of church property. Without science any kind of abuse and beliefs can be generate around it, including psychiatry and psychology as myths. An ANTITELEPATHIC or ANTIPSYCHOTIC would be essential and subject to religious prohibition! BUt also any telepathic or schizophrenic input! Will depend on the strength of the church and the ideas it has about it. Wine would have been considered a telepathic or a schizophrenic in the low middle ages (no ISO), so it was forbidden by both. The ideal is a single telepath to implement the Egyptian theocracy and gold era again (Byzantium). This corner solution, a single one, only leads to a theocratic tyranny, while the other corner solution of all telepaths leads only to a loss of civilization (Precolumbian Indians; their civilizations are an example of synarchic theocracies full of telepaths). Now it is drugs that are considered as a doctrine to be related to drugs. But the relation is complex. I wonder, are people who live near the oceans more prone to look like DEVILS? Coast people eating fish and SOME sort of fats? So THC seems to be an antitelepathic! But the State ideology and that of those who see it only as a monopolic business is that it is telepathic! No studies on this side, but if it concentrates brain activity in the mesencephalous it is antitelepathic and would provide for the development of strong concepts of self! The Church and courts, the police, will support its ban as it provides easy inquisitorial like sacrifices and easy explanations. It is not clear that it would change people s faces into that of devils, which in that case would be true also for diets full of fat precursors to metabolic THC... IT IS VERY CONVENIENT NOT TO HAVE REAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ON THIS MATTER! Or to make it freely available. Some schizos believe it is antischizophrenic, therefore they promote it; others believe it is antitelepathic and thus promote it. The Church upholds the ban as it allows to have devils to sacrifice, prejudices to sacrifice, the bad and crime that come with a forced ban on a basic need... and diverts the look of the State toward the long term goal of going back to very well established theocracies. The real problem is faking side effects, that of making it look as if... as if it was worse than necessary, more evil than necessary, more obvious than necessary, more notorious than necessary, more important than necessary, easy tag... for which telepathy and schizophrenia are again the perfect tool... again, a doctrine supporting the church, drugs dealing acquiring the characteristics of a church BUT associated to the evil, the devil. It is

Re: Theory of beliefs, belief fields

important to the Church to have a narcosatonic, devil Church, even if for the State and the people it is a net loss, more for the people as it has SIDE EFFECTS AND SIDE EFFECTS. Everybody is a victim, a necessary victim to the other parties so that it be evident. And of course for the big dealers and those who promoted and uphold the ban, for people dependent on laws like the Rockefeller drug laws, legalization is unnecessary. A good opportunity to throw and exercise the mechanisms of telepathy and schizophrenia...

Who went to the United Nations when I was publishing in LA? That boy that was in Grand Central Terminal was not the man I call Luis Bistrain and he is not I.

Who went to the White House? I dont intend to go there unless I am specifically invited, but that invitation is not arriving since there is somebody in the middle. Is that the reason for so many buses and humiliations?

Ha! No public enterprise, no LLC nor SA nor GbmH in any of those religious books and their terminated, ended, final realities...

Please! Somebody bring down the cross that poor man! Dont you see he is being tortured? What happened to human rights and animal rights? Are you taking his place? Who do you want to be, the man putting him there, the man on the cross or the people watching it and saying Ohhh!?! Instead of all those serious and modern men in a tax financed public legal court (should be script, as a literary resource).

Fabrizio J Bonsignore now Danilo J Bonsignore

.