

## Re: Where is the evidence?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2007-04/msg00000.html>

---

- *From:* [royls@xxxxxxxx](mailto:royls@xxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Sun, 01 Apr 2007 03:13:15 GMT
- 

On 30 Mar 2007 17:10:37 -0700, w\_b\_ryan@xxxxxxxx wrote:

"But you are free to repeat your libels of eminent economists ad infinitum, and it is never incumbent on you to provide any evidence?"

-----

I am looking right now for evidence that the petition was in existence in 1990, what the text was in 1990, and who supposedly signed it in 1990.

It is available in many places on the Net, as you know very well. If you were really interested in evidence, you would have made some attempt to contact the signatories. But of course, you haven't, because you know they would all tell you that your conspiracy theory is insane.

The burden is on you Georgists to provide it.

No, the burden of proof is on you when you accuse eminent economists of fraud and forgery.

The evidence that the Gorbachev letter and the signatures thereto are genuine has been provided many times. You just refuse to know all facts that prove your insane conspiracy theory is false.

The best way to do that is a photocopy of the original publication from 1990. You haven't been able to provide it so far.

No, lying filth. You do not get to define what the best evidence is. The best evidence that the Gorbachev letter is genuine is the fact

Re: Where is the evidence?

that it is well known to many thousands of people, and there has never been any suggestion that it was anything but genuine, except from one (1) known hate-maddened kook, liar, and crackpot.

-- Roy L

.