

Re: More freedom-hating "libertarians"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2007-07/msg00061.html>

- *From:* "sinister" <sinister@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 17 Jul 2007 19:22:56 -0400
-

"Peter B. P." <peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:li1ex6h.1oz06i0r53cdiN%peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

sinister <sinister@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"Peter B. P." <peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:li1esgv.154rtt7omnptnN%peter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

sinister <sinister@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

<http://www.ldp.org.au/federal/policies/propertyRights.html>

The LDP believes the ownership of property is a fundamental right that precedes the power of government. Widespread private ownership of property is also a significantly preferable alternative to either collective ownership or ownership by a privileged elite.

The LDP supports the right of 'eminent domain' of property owners over their property. It believes neither the State nor other members of society should be able to limit or interfere with the right to enjoy property so long as such enjoyment does not involve coercion of others.

Specific LPD policies:

Re: More freedom-hating "libertarians"

The LDP supports the right of landlords and tenants to freely negotiate the terms of tenancies, free from state rules and restrictions.

snip

Sinister, i agree with you on this point, but to generalize from one point to claim that one group of people are "freedom-hating" is spurious and unnecessary polemical.

I'm implicitly making a _statistical_ generalization, which I claim stands.

That doesn't detract from my admiration (if not complete agreement with) geolibertarians and Georgists and yourself (I assume you essentially fall into those camps).

Thank you*. However, back to the point: Does the LDP of Australia mention anything about land value taxation?

Not on that page, but I think a reasonable inference given what they wrote in the linked text would imply they're against LVT. Certainly, they appear to have no understanding that there's no natural right to use landed property in any way the "owner" sees fit, given that the owner cannot naturally, rightfully exclude others from the property.

*) Since I am in favor of a moderate to large LVT, I think that I fall into the G-ist camp.

—
regards , Peter B. P.
<http://titancity.com/blog> , <http://macplanet.dk>

"We don't dial 911 – we dial .357".