

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.econ/2007-10/msg00183.html>

- *From:* The Trucker <mikcob@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 24 Oct 2007 16:40:42 -0700
-

On Wed, 24 Oct 2007 18:00:45 -0400, Les Cargill wrote:

The Trucker wrote:

On Tue, 23 Oct 2007 19:58:37 -0400, Les Cargill wrote:

The Trucker wrote:

On Tue, 23 Oct 2007 08:05:40 -0700,
david_huang2007 wrote:

On Oct 23, 2:47 am, Les
Cargill
<lcarg...@xxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

david_huang2...@xxxxxxxxxxx
wrote:

<http://www.abcnews.go.com/Business/wireStory?id=3721104>

<snip>

The
crux
of
the
hypocrisy
is
that
while
the
US
uses

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

the
WTO
provisions
in
order
to
urge
China
to
open
its
financial
sector
to
outside
(read:
mainly
US-based)
investment,
it
lets
other
countries
such
as
Canada
or
Australia
to
restrict
foreign
ownership,
in
direct
violation
for
existing
Free-trade
agreements
with
these
countries,
and
get
away
with
it.
And
two
years
ago,

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

when
we
were
attempting
to
buy
a
medium-side
US-
based
oil
company
you
raised
stench
to
high
heaven
and
we
had
to
eventually
back
off.
("National
security"
grounds,
my
a\$\$).

No, that's
about right.
Mineral
reserves on
the ground
in the US
can be
governed by
national
security
policy left
over from
WWII.

The sale of US natural resources is, IMHO,
not an option. Talk about
eating you seed corn. If the alternative is
sweat shop wages for an auto
industry that produces nothing but dirt cheap
motor vehicles for Chinese

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

then so be it. You don't sell the damned
farm.

You
know
what?
You've
been
enjoying
our
free
lunch
for
way
too
long.
Unless
you
learn
to
shut
up
and
be
humble,
and
stop
making
China
into
your
own
scape
goat,
let's
see
what's
gonna
happen
if
we
dump
all
our
dollar
reserves
and
invest
in
the

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

Euro.
I'm
sure
France
and
Germany
are
much
more
receptive
to
Chinese
investment,
whine
less
about
our
environmental
record
(as
if
you
implemented
Kyoto!!!).
And
we'll
see
how
you'll
function
after
we
get
rid
of
our
dollar
T-bonds.
And
with
your
already
falling
dollar,
I'd
rather
buy
Euros
anyway.

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

Go for it.
It's headed
that way
anyhow.
And when
you take
back
Euro
denominated
bonds in
exchange
for Chinese
goods, what
happens
to the
exchange
rate of the
dollar and
Euro?

You seem to miss the point. The currency
(all currencies) are used to buy
OIL, and OIL is the standard against which
all currencies are actually
measured as to value. All trades become
trades for oil because oil is now
life. Without it there is simply not enough
food.

There is in the US. Exports simply... stop.

I am not sure of that. The amount of oil used to fertilizer and the
amount of oil use in the mechanization of American farming is very
significant. And there must still be enough to get the stuff to the
consumers. I hope your right. But I have my doubts.

We have lots of oil available domestically. I'm pretty sure
the answer is that the exports simply stop.

So the North Slope oil now going to Japan plus all the other local
fields are enough to get us by? For how long? As I said: I have my
doubts.

When all the dust
settles it is the nations that have oil in excess
that will rule the

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

roost.

Can they eat oil?

Actually, yes. Assuming they have enough water and sunlight they can produce the stuff they need given plenty of oil.

Not easily. You can't just five-year-plan a whole bunch of readily arable land. Remember too that China's traditional agriculture is largely based on the Yangtze river.

Not relevant I don't think. And China has NO oil to speak of. And "traditional" is for conservatives.

That sure as hell isn't China, but it is not the USA either unless the USA militarily dominates the OPEC nations, i.e. controls the oil in those nations. That is the REALITY. A shifty from dollars to euros as the currency in which oil is traded is the DEATH of the Republican "create money from thin air" economy

It's not money from thin air, it is an *ATTEMPT* to balance between hidebound *DE*flation and the AARP's terrified psychotic aversion to *IN*flation. It's the Greenspan Gavotte, and it's damn near science now.

It was obvious to me long ago. If the dollar was not devalued then the deflation would have been a total nightmare for American workers.

More than that.

No. Not more than that.

The cheap labor from China would have caused the deflation

No. The disappearing money supply and declining federal

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

deficit would have caused the deflation. Nothing
China does causes inflation nor deflation.

You think that because you are infected with neoclassical brain disfunction. China has injected a HUGE pool of labor that will work for slave wages into the American economy. Whether China DID IT or the Republicans and their neoclassical pseudo science of false economics did it is irrelevant. Labor is the real cost of everything and those falling costs/prices will have been a massive deflation that would have crushed American producers even worse than it has. I do not like giving credit for ANYTHING that has happened with George Bush in the oval office and I believe we could have done this without all the deficits and without all the war crap. But the erosion of the dollar was a necessary evil if there was to be trade with Asia. Of course, maybe it would be better to not trade with Asia.

you describe and we
would be in a lot worse shape now. I hate it that Bush presided over ANYTHING that made sense. But that part did. The tax cutting, however, was totally unnecessary and it was just a replay of the Reagan hand out to the rich.

Greenspan has taken pains to be critical of which tax cut was chosen, but he's clear that it's the "surplus" that was the problem. Which, unfortunately, makes all the ragging on Bush about fiscal mismanagement moot. That is the principal mistake Gore made.

I don't see how you can say that. Nor can I see why the same sort of thing could not have been accomplished by totally middle class tax cuts and infrastructure spending as opposed to invading other nations. Putting more money into circulation isn't a problem. Just purchase T-bills and don't buy any more of em. That puts plenty of money in the economy. And if the people refuse to spend the money then you start building major infrastructure. There is absolutely nothing that says money must draw interest. T-bills are just money that draws interest.

> and the death of the "free ride" for America.

The Republicans got us here. There is no easy way out. They and their rightarded cronies will proclaim (hav proclaimed) a "religious war" in order to steal the resources of the OPEC nations. The American public is

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

Re: Euro =1.43 Dollar ->Dump monopoly money (USD), China!

actually aware of this, but they choose to ignore it and drive their SUV's.

Sigh. Not now. "steal the resources"... feh.

Fated to die? How so?

Sorry, I lost you there.

<pins>

—

"I know no safe depository of the ultimate powers of society but the people themselves; and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it from them, but to inform their discretion by education." – Thomas Jefferson
<http://GreaterVoice.org/extend>

.