

Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.basics/2005-09/msg00441.html>

- *From:* "Tom Biasi" <tombiasi@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 13 Sep 2005 18:10:31 -0400
-

"Peter Bennett" <peterbb@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:bviei1tor046b8buia85q9gnvt52pdma5@xxxxxxxxxx
> On Tue, 13 Sep 2005 21:11:54 GMT, max-man@xxxxxxxxxx (max-man) wrote:
>
>>I have a broken pot with 473 written on one side – is this 4.7K or 47K
>>? I always forget. :)
>>
>>
>>Thanks
>
> IF that is the value, then it is 47K – however, I don't think I've
> ever seen a pot of that value – pot values usually go 1, 2, 2.5, and
> 5, followed by some number of zeros.
>
> I suspect that 473 is (part of) the maker's model number, not the
> value.
>
>
> --
> Peter Bennett VE7CEI
> email: peterbb4@interchange.ubc.ca
> GPS and NMEA info and programs:
> <http://vancouver-webpages.com/peter/index.html>
> Newsgroup new user info: <http://vancouver-webpages.com/nnq>

Peter,
47K is a pretty common pot.
Tom

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?***
◇ *From:* John Fields

Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?

- **References:**

- ◆ **Pot resistance – 473 ?**

- ◇ *From:* max-man

- ◆ **Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?**

- ◇ *From:* Peter Bennett

- Prev by Date: **Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?**

- Next by Date: **Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?**

- Previous by thread: **Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?**

- Next by thread: **Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?**

- Index(es):

- ◆ **Date**

- ◆ **Thread**