

Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.basics/2005–09/msg00442.html>

- *From:* Peter Bennett <peterbb@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 13 Sep 2005 15:03:25 –0700
-

On Tue, 13 Sep 2005 21:11:54 GMT, max–man@xxxxxxxxxxxxx (max–man) wrote:

>I have a broken pot with 473 written on one side – is this 4.7K or 47K
>? I always forget. :)
>
>
>Thanks

IF that is the value, then it is 47K – however, I don't think I've ever seen a pot of that value – pot values usually go 1, 2, 2.5, and 5, followed by some number of zeros.

I suspect that 473 is (part of) the maker's model number, not the value.

—

Peter Bennett VE7CEI

email: peterbb4 (at) interchange.ubc.ca

GPS and NMEA info and programs: <http://vancouver–webpages.com/peter/index.html>

Newsgroup new user info: <http://vancouver–webpages.com/nnq>

.

- *Follow–Ups:*

- ◆ [**Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?**](#)

- ◇ *From:* Tom Biasi

- *References:*

- ◆ [**Pot resistance – 473 ?**](#)

- ◇ *From:* max–man

- Prev by Date: [**Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?**](#)
- Next by Date: [**Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?**](#)
- Previous by thread: [**Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?**](#)
- Next by thread: [**Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?**](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [**Date**](#)

Re: Pot resistance – 473 ?

◆ *Thread*