

Re: Dipole antenna

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.basics/2006-01/msg00318.html>

- *From:* "Phil Allison" <philallison@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 10 Jan 2006 01:12:18 +1100
-

"Anthony Fremont"
= a congenital autistic cretin

>>
>> > "thejim"
>>
>> > What do we mean by saying that a dipole antenna is electrically
> short?
>> >
>> > It means that the antenna is exhibiting capacitive reactance to the
>> > signal being applied.
>

** FUCKING Idiot.

>> It means the dipole antenna's width is less than a half wavelength of
>> the frequency.
>
> Electrically that is, not necessarily physically.

** WRONG – FUCKHEAD.

> You do know about velocity factor, right phil?

** IRRELEVANT to the antenna – FUCKHEAD !!

>> > Adding inductance can cancel that out.
>>
>>
>> ** Has no impact on the fact it is "electrically short" and hence an
>> inefficient radiator.
>
> Radiation efficiency has very little to do with any of this.

** WRONG – FUCKHEAD !!!

Fremont is a PIG IGNORANT ASSHOLE.

A vile, anencephalic, autistic Texas Twat.

Millions of these evil PUKES down there.

]

..... Phil

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: Dipole antenna**
◇ *From:* Anthony Fremont

• *References:*

- ◆ **Dipole antenna**
◇ *From:* thejim
 - ◆ **Re: Dipole antenna**
◇ *From:* Anthony Fremont
 - ◆ **Re: Dipole antenna**
◇ *From:* Phil Allison
 - ◆ **Re: Dipole antenna**
◇ *From:* Anthony Fremont
- Prev by Date: **Re: How can I subtract one frequency from another ???**
 - Next by Date: **Re: Two Bridge Rectifiers In Parallel**
 - Previous by thread: **Re: Dipole antenna**
 - Next by thread: **Re: Dipole antenna**
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**