

Re: IF frequency

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.basics/2006-01/msg01455.html>

- *From:* "Phil Allison" <philallison@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 31 Jan 2006 11:56:59 +1100
-

"John Fields"

"Phil Allison"

>>>> I think he stated it rather succinctly since the IF_is_the
>>>> difference between the carrier and the LO, regardless of the
>>>> location, spectrally, of either the carrier or the LO.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>** That excludes those receivers where the IF is the sum of the

>>>>received

>>>>carrier and the LO.

>>>

>>

>>(snip bunch of irrelevant stuff)

>>

>>

>>** Try reading my post again.

>>

>> More carefully this time.

>

>

> To what end?

** So you might discover your error.

If that is not it too *horrible* an experience.

> It's obvious blah blah blah

** No is isn't.

..... Phil

• **References:**

- ◆ **IF frequency**
 ◇ *From:* thejim
- ◆ **Re: IF frequency**
 ◇ *From:* Peter Bennett
- ◆ **Re: IF frequency**
 ◇ *From:* John Fields
- ◆ **Re: IF frequency**
 ◇ *From:* Phil Allison
- ◆ **Re: IF frequency**
 ◇ *From:* John Fields
- ◆ **Re: IF frequency**
 ◇ *From:* Phil Allison
- ◆ **Re: IF frequency**
 ◇ *From:* John Fields

- Prev by Date: **Re: IF frequency**
- Next by Date: **Re: IF frequency**
- Previous by thread: **Re: IF frequency**
- Next by thread: **Re: IF frequency**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**