

Re: [OT] Darwinism for Bob & Bob

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.basics/2006-08/msg02704.html>

- *From:* NoSpam@xxxxxxxxxxxx (Bob Masta)
 - *Date:* Wed, 30 Aug 2006 12:58:57 GMT
-

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 09:28:08 +1200, "Roger Dewhurst"
<dewhurst@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"Mike" <nomtrxspam@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:tsg6f29tnh3a4dr0vi3am85dhbvjq3vonj@xxxxxxxxxxxx

Bob Masta, see my previous post first.
The other Bob, read on.

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 17:36:06 GMT, "Bob Myers"

<nospamplease@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote:

"Mike" <nomtrxspam@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

Steven Stanley, an affirmed evolutionist, was objective enough to point

out:—

"The known fossil record fails to document a single example of phyletic evolution accomplishing a major morphologic transition and hence offers no evidence that a gradualistic model can be valid." Steven M. Stanley, *Macroevolution: Pattern and Process*. San Francisco: W. M. Freeman & Co.,

1979,

p. 39.

I can think of two off the top of my head. The genus *Pelicaria* in the upper Tertiary rocks of the Wairarapa in New Zealand and the evolution of the

Re: [OT] Darwinism for Bob & Bob

pelagic forminifera Orbulina universa.

I'd point to the work of Philip Gingerich showing the evolution of whales from prior land-dwelling quadrupeds. It's the sort of "major morphologic transition" that creationists seem to crave. I haven't heard any of their comments on this work yet.... I wonder what excuses they will come up with this time?

Best regards,

Bob Masta
dqatechATdaqartaDOTcom

D A Q A R T A
Data AcQuisition And Real-Time Analysis
www.daqarta.com
Home of DaqGen, the FREEWARE signal generator
.