

Re: How to count pulses per second ?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.basics/2007-02/msg00696.html>

- *From:* John Fields <jfields@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 15 Feb 2007 13:38:21 -0600
-

On Thu, 15 Feb 2007 12:32:52 -0600, "Anthony Fremont"
<spam-not@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

John Fields wrote:

On Thu, 15 Feb 2007 08:52:47 -0600, "Anthony Fremont"
<spam-not@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

John Fields wrote:

The berating isn't direct, it's in your attitude and in your disdain
for anything other than a PIC to be used as a solution to a problem.

There you go again John, making untrue statements. I have never even begun
to insinuate something as stupid as that. Other solutions are fine as long
as the limitations are fine too. As I stated before, this particular
problem can be done with less parts by using a PIC, and so far we all know
that it can, including you John. Why is that so disturbing to you?

It isn't, but I'd say that because of your constant whining about
how good a PIC would be in this application that you're upset
because the OP won't buy into it.

I could be wrong, but it seems to me that when you say, "A PIC is
the right way to do it." that that's your way and that's what you're
telling them to use.

Re: How to count pulses per second ?

Suggesting the use of a PIC is a far cry from "ramming it down someones throat", now isn't it John?

Yes, but perpetually bleating that it's the right solution is.

Should I never suggest one?

Don't ask questions you know are stupid.

Have I ever suggested one in an inappropriate way?

Dunno, don't care.

You just have to keep your perpetual battle going with someone,

LOL, it seems like you're the one following me around.

Just because you can do it without a PIC doesn't make using one wrong.

No, but when you've been specifically told "NO" and you keep begging for a change of mind, it's a little sickening.

So have I, but so what? That's not the point. The point is that we all make mistakes and you're insultingly criticizing me for making one as if you were a lily-white infallible judge.

Re: How to count pulses per second ?

Re: How to count pulses per second ?

Actually that was exactly the point. I have written "bug free" code the first time, so what?

Never mind; you still don't get it.

And exactly what stimulated you to start this whole mess between us?

As I recall, the first time your name popped up where I was concerned was in a post where I was talking about the good service I got from sbcglobal.net and you came on strong about how stupid I was for all kinds of reasons, none of which I care to rehash.

The second, as I recall, was in this thread where you couldn't stand me getting some compliments from Ed and had to start in with your "I'm better than you are" shit.

It couldn't be that you jumped all over something I said that really wasn't even all that incorrect. Then when I clarified my answer instead of falling into your trap, you got all pissed and started ranting and raving, just like now.

If you think this is ranting and raving, you don't know what ranting and raving is.

Picking on spelling errors and petty nonsense like that; you don't seem to like it being done to you, so why do you do it to so many others without cause?

Who are you to determine what and what isn't cause for me to act as I choose? This all goes back to what I said before about that you want people to do what you tell them to. Face it, you're a control freak.

Re: How to count pulses per second ?

Re: How to count pulses per second ?

I don't recall anything like that. Refresh my memory.

OK, here you go.

Here's the entire thread:

http://groups.google.com/group/sci.electronics.basics/browse_frm/thread/1ac6a2a42cb52897/3129a9be61736e

Here is the tail dragging post:

http://groups.google.com/group/sci.electronics.basics/tree/browse_frm/thread/1ac6a2a42cb52897/65c58ced69

And just so people don't have to hunt for it:

"I will say one thing now, though, and that is that after having checked your posting history last night I found that you do seem to know what you're talking about, technically, most of the time, so I apologize for any inaccurate broad-brush slurs I may have made earlier."

Ignite any brain cells yet?

Vaguely, but it sounds like me in that if I find I'm wrong I don't mind owning up to it. You seem to think there's some shame in that.

Probably that's why you're carrying on so.

and I'm sure I'm not in _everyone's_ killfile,
LOL. Those who've
plonked me I have no use for anyway. I'm
also sure that my efforts
are appreciated from the thanks I get from
the folks I help out.

You get killfiled more in one week than I have in a lifetime.

Even for you, that's a ridiculous statement.

I've only been plonked a couple of times, you got two yesterday IIRC.

Re: How to count pulses per second ?

Re: How to count pulses per second ?

That's still not _everyone's_ killfile, is it?

Anyway, it doesn't matter. Just fewer assholes I have to contend with.

I think you
should look at it like this, "the ones that plonked you, have
no use
for
YOU".

Which goes to prove what I said about you wanting people to do things your way.

Are you feeling ok? My statement has nothing to do with myself. The quotes are to set apart my statement.

You made the statement: "I think you should look at it like this," which indicates that you think that the way I was looking at it is incorrect and I should go about looking at it in the way you approve.

Hardly. Using two pots because the OP doesn't _want_ to use a PIC is

Enough is enough. Where did the OP say that exactly? Oh, that's right, he didn't.

As the designer _I_ get to choose.

That's your misinterpretation of what he said.

Re: How to count pulses per second ?

Re: How to count pulses per second ?

If he didn't say, how could I have misrepresented?

Well, I've glanced through the rest of your replies and it all just seems to be frippery and evasion, much like the preceding, So I'll just disengage now and leave you to your own devices.

Besides, I do have someone waiting for a schematic and a simulation...

—
JF

.