

Re: OT: Wheeeeeee! New PSpice Benchmarks

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.cad/2004-08/0299.html>

From: keith (*krw_at_att.bizzzz*)

Date: 08/31/04

Date: Mon, 30 Aug 2004 21:40:37 -0400

On Sun, 29 Aug 2004 16:17:46 +0000, Ken Smith wrote:

> *In article <pan.2004.08.29.15.01.37.677679@att.bizzzz>,*
> *keith <krw@att.bizzzz> wrote:*
> *[...]*
> *>I've already started down that path. It's been bumpy but I decided when*
> *>>I went to Win2K three years ago that it was going to be my (first and)*
> *>>last M\$ OS. When I put together this Opteron system, I went with SuSE*
> *>>9.1. I still have Win2K on the old system while I learn my way around.*
>
> *LTSpice works under wine (you already knew that)*

Actually, I didn't. My Linux/Opteron system is a home machine and not intended to do anything other than learn Linux, at least for now. I use both Win2K and AIX at work, and the applications I use are pretty much handed me.

>
> *The old DOS Orcad works under DOSEMU with a few problems. Their ESP*
> *program is daft things in DOS land and they are still daft under Linux.*

I have OrCad 9.x, but I never considered trying it at home (no license).

> *Open Office works ok for most text and spreadsheet sorts of things. The*
> *chart function of the spreadsheet is so slow as to be near useless. I*
> *plan on learning to use gnuplot when I get a little time.*

Been there, it's OK. ...not perfect. Indeed the only *application* M\$ has ever produced that I like is Excell. The rest is pure garbage.

> *A couple of other useful tips:*
>
> *On any installed software, in a shell type "man nameofprogram". For the*
> *good ones this displays the manual for the program. If the manual tells*
> *you that the manual is obsolete and that you should use the "info"*
> *system, the program is likely to work but may have a bug or two. If*
> *there is no man page, it is very likely that the program is quite buggy.*

I'm quite used to "man" pages, having done Unixisms for some time. Though I do find "man" pages rather terse and slightly better than useless if you don't know what they're saying. For instance I've been trying to get my USB flash-drive running under Linux. Cool, I can follow directions in the "man fstab" page, except that it doesn't tell me what all that crap is! I finally (with major help from the web) got it working, but it was ugly, and still have "issues" (my mount point tends to disappear). Grrr.

> *Old programs, that are well rung out, tend to have "man" pages. The
> better programmers still provide "man" pages. So the method works
> fairly well.*

...as reference. I remember the IBM JCL manuals were chock-full of information, if you know what they were saying. reference <> help.

> *I'm far from a Linux expert but I've got it to work for me. I use SuSE
> 8.1. You've got 9.1 hopefully they've fixed the things I've had to fix
> in the 8.1 install.*

I've installed SuSE at least a half-dozen times. I added a DATA drive and it crashed the installation on the pATA drive (it got sooo confused). I then tried installing on the SATA drive a few times, good idea! (the drivers don't work!).

> *BTW: You can do darn near anything in a Bash script.*

Oh, my! Another issue! I followed the instructions (albeit from a RH user) on how to do a BASH script for the above flash-drive. No joy in Mudville[*] tonight. ...and mud-season is supposed to end by May

[*] If it doesn't stop raining I'll have to throw the computers out as anchors. A friend has already lost his basement/foundation/furnace/water-heater to floods (though his *flood* insurance company calls a foot-wall of water running t'wards the house and through the basement "sepage").

--
Keith