

# Request for a vote, newsgroup alt.binaries.electronics

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.cad/2005-12/msg00182.html>

---

- *From:* newtype <[fakeaddress@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:fakeaddress@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 26 Dec 2005 03:23:16 GMT
- 

Request for a vote, newsgroup alt.binaries.electronics

Reasons for creating a new newsgroup. From the posts I have made to alt.binaries.schematics.electronic , the realization is a.b.s.e is not suited for many multipart files. PDF binaries are large and have been posted, but I can not u/l large files, so I break them up to smaller meg files. I am told that I'm flooding a.b.s.e If this is flooding, then I suggest a newsgroup aimed specifically at posting electronics related data. I've been told I'm breaking copyright laws. The data sheets that I was posting are obsolete, TI, Phillips/Signetics do not support obsolete devices, they could care less about obsolete. Other users have posted manuals and I'm sure they have also been warned about breaking copyright laws.

If the manufacturer does not exist anymore, who is going to complain about corporate copyright law? There's no one there at headquarters, it's been turned into a shopping mall?

The main problem is not the copyright issue, it has to do with users who are complaining about "flooding" a.b.s.e with so many files. It's about new people introduced to the internet & don't know how to use/configure their newsreader.

I did a google search and came upon this doc,

<http://nylon.net/alt/index.htm#Naming>

If a new group is to be justified, its topic must already be under discussion somewhere in existing Usenet groups. The question is: under what circumstances is a new group warranted?

1. If the topic is consistently dominating discussion in a more general group (e.g. 80% of discussion in alt.books.horror is about one author). Forming a new group because of this reason is called "splitting". If you want to form a newsgroup by splitting from an existing group, you should discuss the idea of a new group in the existing group before coming to

## Request for a vote, newsgroup alt.binaries.electronics

alt.config with a proposal. People have to agree that there is a logical way to split the discussion. The old and new group would both be need to be viable after the split.

The topic I have been posting are the multi-part rar files to a.b.s.e , which no one seems to understand what to do with them. If there's a new newsgroup to handle all these files, then it would be much less of an annoyance. Users have complained "what the hell are these f\*&king things, get the f%\$k out of here" This tells you that some users don't know a thing about a common archiving file, rar. They complain about par files. There have been too many complaints on how to use a newsreader, what newsreaders are there, why are these users trying use a web browser to read newsgroups? I have been told the files are porn related. How is a datasheet porn material? I've been told all these files are related to music and video files. How are the datasheets related to music and video? I have not posted any porn, music or video files.

Again, this boils down to the complainers who don't know what to do with so many files, so they related the rar and par files to the web sites they have visited, the porn newsgroups, the music newsgroups, the digital video newsgroups.

I've been told" read the f#\*king a.b.s.e. charter. There is no charter for a.b.s.e , never has been, never will be. In the beginning a.b.s.e was created for just what I'm doing, posting of binary files, pdfs, executables, binaries. How is anyone going to post an executable without breaking it up to smaller files? But now, what I'm doing is called flooding a.b.s.e . Even with the current users in a.b.s.e, complainers will probably complain about copyright of executables.

With all this complaining, no one from anyother newsgroup, will ever post their program or project. No has ever posted cad files, pcb files, because they have to break up these files, use zip-split? Someone will complain they are flooding the newsgroup.

Over the years the internet has grown in leaps and bounds. PC Hardware & software increasing more & more. Connection speed dsl, cable modem, gigs at a time. Students run multi-terabyte servers for their summer job or intern job.

This is the year 2006 and a new newsgroup to handle multi-part binary files should be introduced.

I propose new newsgroup : alt.binaries.electronics

## Request for a vote, newsgroup alt.binaries.electronics

CHARTER: alt.binaries.electronics is for; 1) the support of design, development, prototype and repair of electronic and electrical equipment , 2) to support those that maintain obsolete equipment , 3) a place for those users needing to post multi-part binary files dealing with electronics. The multi-part binary files can be of the format Zip, WinRar and Par. As time goes on and better archiving software becomes available, this charter will add those archiving software.

The newsgroup will be moderated. Off-topic binaries, spam and advertising posts are not permitted. Discussion of hacks, cracks, warez, serial numbers or the illegal exchange of copyrighted software is banned.

as per <http://nylon.net/alt/index.htm#Naming>

People have to agree that there is a logical way to split the discussion. The old and new group would both be needed to be viable after the split.

I see no problem here. Some of the electronic data I have posted dose not exist on any web page.

Binaries', as they are called, are messages that have attachments that are not pure text: they include photos, programs, WAV files, Word documents, animations etc)

ALL GROUPS that are meant to have binaries posted to them must be under alt.binaries.\* or similar groups.

Don't ask for a binaries group unless you really need it.

I am asking for a news newsgroup to use for the present and future postings.

Before creating a new ALT newsgroup, the big question is whether there is really a need for it. The net gods will always ask for numerical justification for the creation of a new group.

RELEVANCE: you can only count messages if their discussion is relevant to your groups's topic. The fact that a certain word appears in a message does not guarantee that the message can be counted as relevant for justification purposes.

This a call for a vote. I am not looking for off topic discussion, not looking for being called I'm stupid, get lost.

Request for a vote, newsgroup alt.binaries.electronics

This a call for a vote.

- 
- *Follow-Ups:*
    - ◆ *Re: Request for a vote, newsgroup alt.binaries.electronics*
      - ◇ *From:* qrk
    - ◆ *Re: Request for a vote, newsgroup alt.binaries.electronics*
      - ◇ *From:* Pooh Bear
  - Prev by Date: *Re: !! DOWNLOAD THE MOST POPULAR 2006's CRACKED SOFTWARE !!*
  - Next by Date: *Re: Request for a vote, newsgroup alt.binaries.electronics*
  - Previous by thread: *!! DOWNLOAD THE MOST POPULAR 2006's CRACKED SOFTWARE !!*
  - Next by thread: *Re: Request for a vote, newsgroup alt.binaries.electronics*
  - Index(es):
    - ◆ *Date*
    - ◆ *Thread*