

Re: Come Cross Test Circuit Theory in Simulation, Over Unity Circuit

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.cad/2008-02/msg00088.html>

- *From:* Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 16 Feb 2008 10:11:02 -0500
-

The Flavored Coffee Guy wrote:

Takes money to make money, that's always the rule. It doesn't take any money to know how, it takes money for patent attorney's, patent rights, and concept patents don't pay unless some-one wants the idea. When you think about how sceptical you are, and then consider how many sceptics there really are, it's a hopeless situation. Sure, cons make a point but they invest in fraud. I provide schematics, ain't looking for investors, destroyed the potential of any concept patent with making theory public access, and prevented any monopoly. That only leaves device patents, and anything I invent could use that circuit. If you really study patent law, you'll find that electronic circuits are not protected. Why? Simple enough, a circuit is usually buried under a list of other simple circuits, and in the end preforms a seperate or different task. How many ways you can assemble a circuit to accomplish the same task is like comparing the Blackberry to text messaging and cell phones. There isn't a real difference. Tuned to another frequency than the original proof and that's proof enough that it's not the same circuit in court. The major companies are all picking up radios, televisions and none of them are arguing so often. An attorney is trained in law, not electronics. If you look deeply, there are a few who will take your money to protect your circuits, but they really can't. Electronics is right up there with chemical compounds, and that which an attorney cannot understand nor identify is not truely protected.

Some of the transformers I've mentioned are only experimental and are versions I have not tested.

You are balmy mate! Oh, and try quoting some of the text from the article you are responding to so folks can figure out what you are talking about.

If your basic principles worked, you would be able to cheap together a model, and show me a shoebox with a 100W lamp on it that is powered (free standing) from a 9V battery. You would let me observe it from all angles, run through a few 9V batteries, and make my tests, and when

Re: Come Cross Test Circuit Theory in Simulation, Over Unity Circuit

I was satisfied, I would give you all of my money, and the deed to all of my property in return for that prototype.

If you truly believed you were correct, you would go around to all of your friends and family, and beg them for money to invest. You would mortgage your house, and sell your car. You would hock your golf clubs, and your wife's diamond ring. You would make your wife take a second job, and your kids mow the neighbor's lawn. You would do anything to get enough money to make a prototype that demonstrated you could get your over unity something for nothing.

We are talking about a nearly instant payoff of billions of dollars!

You aren't doing these things, you have no prototype, so no, I don't believe you.

I've spent a whole lot of money, and most of my life developing a working understanding of the principles of electronics, electricity, and mechanics. I know the currents you are chasing, and they cannot be exploited for power, because as soon as you attempt to harness them, they no longer exist. There is nothing magical about plasma. It is hot is because you have dumped a lot of energy into making it that way.

I think you are a desperate man who wants attention, and wants folks to marvel at how brilliant you are, when you really aren't. You are using what very little knowledge you have about electrical circuits, and are chasing things that others fully understand, but really are magic to you.

Good luck in your quest. Bring me that shoebox prototype, and I'll get you money beyond your wildest dreams.

-Chuck

.