

## Re: S – Y Parameter conversion with Smith Chart

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004-08/0137.html>

---

**From:** Paul Burridge ([pb\\_at\\_notthisbit.osiris1.co.uk](mailto:pb_at_notthisbit.osiris1.co.uk))

**Date:** 07/31/04

Date: Sat, 31 Jul 2004 23:48:04 +0100

On Sat, 31 Jul 2004 19:24:52 +0100, John Woodgate  
<[jmw@jmwa.demon.contraspam.yuk](mailto:jmw@jmwa.demon.contraspam.yuk)> wrote:

>I read in *sci.electronics.design* that Paul Burridge  
><[pb@notthisbit.osiris1.co.uk](mailto:pb@notthisbit.osiris1.co.uk)> wrote (in <[n2nng0hvjmg15ljj1li87onra4sgt0p@d97@4ax.com](mailto:n2nng0hvjmg15ljj1li87onra4sgt0p@d97@4ax.com)>) about 'S – Y Parameter conversion with Smith Chart', on  
>Sat, 31 Jul 2004:  
>>And as John W said, how do you tell whether the point referred to on the  
>>Chart is actually a Y-parameter at all??  
>  
>No, I didn't say that. Please refrain from citing me in support of your  
>inaneities.

Sorry John. I didn't mean to imply I was investing any faith in your  
all-too-frequently incorrect assertions.

HTH.

--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd." – William Blake, 1793.