

Re: Fifty–six Deceits in Fahrenheit 911

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004–08/1972.html>

From: Product developer (jdurban_at_vorel.com)

Date: 08/12/04

Date: 11 Aug 2004 23:33:48 -0700

kensmith@green.rahul.net (Ken Smith) wrote in message news:<cfdk2k\$gvs\$1@blue.rahul.net>...

> In article <118afaeb.0408110620.f0ae73c@posting.google.com>,

> Product developer <jdurban@vorel.com> wrote:

> >kensmith@green.rahul.net (Ken Smith) wrote in message

> >news:<cfroc\$996\$1@blue.rahul.net>...

> [...]

> >> I strongly disagree. There is a strong right wing bias in the media and

> >> one of the lies they tell you is that there is a left wing bias in the

> >> media. Start at the bottom of the AM dial and work your way up in

> >> frequency through all the radio and TV signals you can receive and see for

> >> your self. I tried if where I am and hit the FM dial before I heard the

> >> first station that didn't have a right wing bias.

> >

> >Sounds like a scientific and accurate method of polling to me. With 87

> >percent of the press admitting being liberal there's no debate as to

> >their leanings in reporting, "editorializing" the news.

>

> No, 87% of the press "says" they are liberal. If you admit that their

> reporting is bias, you also have to admit that their reporting of their

> bias must be biased. So this is a case of you selectively believing them

> when they happen to say something that matches your preconcieved notions.

Selectively believing a survey? Either take someone's word or not. I don't understand.

>

> >If there weren't a clear left wing bias in the reporting of the

> >news, Rush Limbaugh and FOX NEWS would have never evolved into the

> >powerhouses they have become. The market was ripe for alternative or

> >fair reporting

>

> Brad Pitt has become a famous actor even though there was not a clear bias

> toward being ugly in the existing pool of actors so I don't see that your

> logic holds.

WTF? Lib logic?

>

> People are more comfortable when their cherished notions are confirmed.

> In the other new organizations, facts were sometimes checked and surprises

> *found. This upset people so they switched off the news and turned on Rush*
> *who never bothered about facts.*

Fuzzy logic again? Do you make this up as you go or do you really believe what you are shoveling?

>
> > *and there is a reason why the left can't create a huge*
> > *radio audience.*
> *There are other reasons that explain it just as well:*

>
> (1)
> *They have trouble getting on the air because of the right wing bias.*

That's even more silly than your previous ill conceived notions. In radio and TV success is purely profit driven. No network or independant gives a damn about what agenda the show has as long as it sells advertizing.

>
> (2)
> *The truth isn't funny*

One man's truth is another's lie I guess. I hear little truth from the left. Just mindless drivel from underacheiving malcontents so miserable in their own darkness that all they can do is whine and attack success. No answers, no contribution, just protest unless it is their "guy" in office. Then all is acceptable. Politics has become a team sport. It's kinda like "I know Kobe didn't rape that girl because I am a Laker fan and he would never do that".

>
> (3)
> *The people are more comfortable as explained above.*

>
> > *There is no debating left wing bias.*
>
> *I'm debating it.*
>
> > *You see it every*
> > *time you turn on network news*
>
> *I see ads for shows and readings of press releases and other junk in place*
> *of news but I don't see this bias you claim to see. When did you last*
> *have your eyes checked. Perhaps you mistake stories about the latest*
> *events on Survivor as a left bias.*

I don't have time to watch TV shows. I work 16 to 18 hours a day. The last series I watched was Miami Vice because it was cutting edge at the time. Can't help you on the survivor question.

>
> > *which might explain why audience share*
> > *is eroding year after year.*
>

- > *As you get more options for the same number of people to select from the*
- > *number selecting any given option will normally decrease. There are*
- > *several other reasons too.*

How does that explain the shift to conservatism? The left has a stranglehold on public and secondary education, network news, most of the newspapers, and kooks like Micheal Moore. How can all that indoctrination be losing in the arena of ideas? Simple Common sense favors conservative thought. Leftist neo–socialist thinking is too whacky for most grounded people.

- >
- > *There is a reason public radio and TV has*
- > *to be funded with taxpayer dollars.*
- >
- > *Yes it is an attempt to keep it from having to take on advertizers and*
- > *hence end up with a bias. Remember 60 Minutes had to spike the report*
- > *about tobacco companies because of profit considerations.*

Nice try. If left to its own it would implode. No one listens or watches because it is dull and unapealing. Whiney shrill leftists railing against their enemy of the hour can only appeal to a select few.

- >
- >
- > *The only reason so many beleive war is bad is because so many do not*
- > *understand the cost of a safe and free society.*
- >
- > *I'm sure there are some who would argue that a society that keeps its self*
- > *safe and free by killing and enslaving others is not something that works*
- > *in the long term.*

More leftist effeminate logic.

- >
- > *We've had the alert level raised and our right curtailed so it doesn't*
- > *seem to be working anyway.*

Every day I wake up and jump in the shower I worry about all the rights I have lost in the patriot act. I am so afraid that when I am cutting a trace with an exacto blade that someone might think it is a box cutter.

- >
- > *The left believes that*
- > *if we could just sit down with terrorists and chant over some tea and*
- > *insense that they would change their attitude towards us.*
- >
- > *You really have some silly opinions about the left. Let me guess, you*
- > *heard this line on Rush Limbaugh or perhaps Mike Savages show.*

No, actually I get my fill right here and on other NG's reading the most bizarre ramblings from people who I would expect to be much more rational considering the trade they are in. Trades that require a sharp mind and steely resolve to overcome technical challenges.

I am shocked by how many seemingly competent engineers can support the leftist agenda which when thoroughly examined is child-like naive utopian clap trap.

When it comes down to it and when common sense prevails voters will re-elect Bush. Why? Because reasoned thinkers will play it safe and vote for the man that will act and not seek the opinion of an attorney ala Bill Clinton when Bin Laden was offered up three times by the Sudanese.

I would rather have a cowboy ready to pull the trigger than an opportunist that lobbied to get a Purple Heart for shrapnel so small that it was tweezed out and butterflied. You know, the guy who bought an 8MM camera and returned to the jungle to re-enact a "battle" scene for future use.

Do you really think Libya would have recently announced ending their weapons program if we were under a neo-socialist Kerry presidency? I think not.