

Re: Fifty–six Deceits in Fahrenheit 911

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004–08/2207.html>

From: Product developer (jdurban_at_vorel.com)

Date: 08/13/04

Date: 12 Aug 2004 21:51:11 -0700

kensmith@green.rahul.net (Ken Smith) wrote in message news:<cfg1qg\$jpe\$1@blue.rahul.net>...

> *In article <118afaeb.0408112233.10ed98f0@posting.google.com>,*

> *Product developer <jdurban@vorel.com> wrote:*

> *>kensmith@green.rahul.net (Ken Smith) wrote in message*

> *>news:<cfdk2k\$gvs\$1@blue.rahul.net>...*

> *[...]*

> *>> No, 87% of the press "says" they are liberal. If you admit that their*

> *>> reporting is bias, you also have to admit that their reporting of their*

> *>> bias must be biased. So this is a case of you selectively believing them*

> *>> when they happen to say something that matches your preconcieved notions.*

> *>>*

> *>>Selectively believing a survey? Either take someone's word or not. I*

> *>>don't understand.*

> *>>*

> *>> It is a survey of news people. Previous arguments included the claim that*

> *>> they were distorting the facts. This survey asked them if they were liberal*

> *>> or conservative and then assumed that on this question they had not*

> *>> distorted the facts.*

As liberals they slant and often editorialize. Clear as day.

>

> *>>> >If there weren't a clear left wing bias in the reporting of the*

> *>>> >news, Rush Limbaugh and FOX NEWS would have never evolved into the*

> *>>> >powerhouses they have become. The market was ripe for alternative or*

> *>>> >fair reporting*

> *>>>*

> *>>> Brad Pitt has become a famous actor even though there was not a clear bias*

> *>>> toward being ugly in the existing pool of actors so I don't see that your*

> *>>> logic holds.*

> *>>>*

> *>>>WTF? Lib logic?*

> *>>>*

> *>>> Too complex for you?*

Hardly. Just nonsensical.

>

> *Ok I will try to do it in smaller steps.*

>

- > (1)
- > *The claim was made that FOX NEWS became popular because all of the rest of*
- > *the news has a left bias.*

Agreed.

- >
- > (2)
- > *The claim, of cause, is presented without any supporting argument other*
- > *than an implied seeking of a different option by the views.*

Definately.

- >
- > (3)
- > *In order for the implied seeking of a different option to be used in the*
- > *argument, there must be evidence that the tendency to seek something*
- > *different, in the media, exists in the population.*

I think the numbers speak for themselves. If consumers switch from one source of news over another it is the same as switching from one commodity to another.

It's called choice. There wasn't one until FOX and talk radio came about.

- >
- > (4)
- > *An obvious example of an existing bias in the media is the bias towards*
- > *good looking people. (You don't see many stump ugly actors)*

I fail to see the surprize here. Unlike the unreal, unnatural world of liberal thought, people would rather see attractive, positive, youthful people deliver their news, entertainment, and meals in a restuarant. You won't see fat people in a McDonalds commercial for obvious reasons.

In the liberal/Marxist world you want to norm down and make everyone equally miserable and ugly. Perhaps this explains why most libs are very unhappy and unattractive malcontents lashing out against the machine.

- >
- > (5)
- > *Examine the evidence to see if there is a trend in the population towards*
- > *ugly actors.*

Whoopie Goldberg

- >
- > (6)
- > *Brad Pitt is the latest, I could think of, actor to join the ranks of the*
- > *popular.*

Are you among the unattractive? This obsession with Brad Pitt is interesting.

>

> (7)

> *Brad Pitt is certainly above average in his looks.*

I prefer good looking people to ugly people. Is that shallow or natural order? My wife is a knockout gorgeous blond and my kids are gorgeous. It's called selective breeding. You know natural law. The law that libs would like to repeal.

>

> (8)

> *There is no trend towards ugly actors. If anything the trend is towards ever better looking actors.*

Bravo. You go to the head of the class.

>

> (9)

> *Therefor there is no evidence that the population seeks something different. If anything there is a tendency towards seeking a more extreme example of what they already have.*

Agreed. The average viewer does not share the beliefs of Peter Jennings and sought news and talk radio that aligned with their belief systems.

>

> (10)

> *The evidence indicates the FOX is not popular because of some left bias in the media. Instead it is more likely that it is popular because of a pre-existing right wing bias in the media.*

Was this a dormant bias? The only right wing bias is what resides in the hearts of average every day people that know that right is right and wrong is wrong.

As the left continues to blur those lines they awaken that bias in more and more people.

>

>

>>> *People are more comfortable when their cherished notions are confirmed.*
>>> *In the other new organizations, facts were sometimes checked and surprises found. This upset people so the switched off the news and turned on Rush who never bothered about facts.*

I have seen this trend to demonize Limbaugh by the left more and more but never any evidence to support that he is untruthful. I listen to campus radio, shortwave, left and right wing hacks. The difference between Limbaugh and the left is delivery and passion. The guy is upbeat and backs up his rhetoric with well researched material. He might be a blowhard sometimes but I have to say that there is no one on the left who can even come close to his ability to deliver content in a positive way. The commentators on the left sound like imbalance hippies from the 60's to me. Utopian almost drug induced blathering of hormonal driven mindless drival wrapped in hate and spite for all that is decent.

> >
> > *Fuzzy logic again? Do you make this up as you go or do you really*
> > *believe what you are shoveling?*
>
> *Do you have a single shred of evidence or logic to indicate that I am*
> *wrong or is this insult the best you can do?*

No I agree that Brad Pitt is handsome and if I were a woman or an effeminate male liberal I would do him.

>
> *BTW: Yes I did read this far before I answered the part above.*
>
> *[...]*
> > *One man's truth is another's lie I guess. I hear little truth from the*
> > *left.*
>
> *Oh my gawd! A right winger admitting he is a moral relativist!*

No I was just playing with you.

>
> *I assume you define "truth" to mean that which agrees with my preconceived*
> *notions.*
>
> > > *I see ads for shows and readings of press releases and other junk in place*
> > > *of news but I don't see this bias you claim to see. When did you last*
> > > *have your eyes checked. Perhaps you mistake stories about the latest*
> > > *events on Survivor as a left bias.*
> >
> *[...]*
> > *I don't have time to watch TV shows. I work 16 to 18 hours a day. The*
> > *last series I watched was Miami Vice because it was cutting edge at*
> > *the time. Can't help you on the survivor question.*
>
> *Do you watch TV news? It was the "TV news" programs I was refering to*

Sorry I thought "Survivor" was a dopey pop culture show. In retrospect the show's title best describes network news.

>
> > > *As you get more options for the same number of people to select from the*
> > > *number selecting any given option will normally decrease. There are*
> > > *several other reasons too.*

That wouldn't get you a receptionist job at Nielsen.

> >
> > *How does that explain the shift to conservatism?*
>
> *Many of the newer options are further to the right. There is no trend*
> *towards conservatism however. The trend is towards Limbaughism, an*
> *unthinking rightwing extremism.*

I don't know how to respond. That makes less sense than your obsession with Mr. Pitt.

- >
- > > *The left has a*
- > > *stranglehold on public*
- >
- > *"Strangle hold" is over the top for this.*
- >
- > > *and secondary education,*
- >
- > *Less true. I've met rightwinger school teachers.*

So this is another scientific sample? A survey of college campus' would yield a higher ratio of lefties than the newsrooms gauranteed.

- >
- > > *network news,*
- >
- > *Wrong.*
- >
- > > *most of*
- > > *the newspapers,*
- >
- > *About 50–50 left vs right*

Absolutely wrong. You need to do some research. I realize that facts are like wolf bane to libs but it is necessary to be credible.

- >
- > > *and kooks like Micheal Moore.*
- >
- > *I'm sure he worries about your opinion as he goes the bank to cash the*
- > *latest check.*
- >

Thanks for at least not denying that he is a kook. Credibility restored .4%

- >
- > > *Simple Common sense*
- > > *favors conservative thought.*
- >
- > *My first impulse was to agree with this until I considered what else*
- > *"simple common sense" has been said to favor.*

Natural order, natural selection, and natural law will always be the lefts biggest obstacle.

- >
- > > > *We've had the alert level raised and our right curtailed so it doesn't*
- > > > *seem to be working anyway.*
- > >
- > > *Every day I wake up and jump in the shower I worry about all the*
- > > *rights I have lost in the patriot act. I am so afraid that when I am*
- > > *cutting a trace with an exacto blade that someone might think it is a*
- > > *box cutter.*

>
> *I guess you just haven't noticed. I don't know if my cat knew what*
> *happened to it when I got it fixed. It seemed happy enough afterwards.*

I havn't had the old sperm count checked for a while but last time but
Donald Rumsfeld has not put a bounty on my swimmers.

>
>
> --