

## Re: Ping Kevin Aylward – re your "scientific paper"

**Source:** <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004–09/3996.html>

---

**From:** Paul Burr ridge ([pb\\_at\\_notthisbit.osiris1.co.uk](mailto:pb_at_notthisbit.osiris1.co.uk))

**Date:** 09/18/04

Date: Sat, 18 Sep 2004 16:56:45 +0100

On Sat, 18 Sep 2004 14:54:24 GMT, "Genome" <[genome@nothere.net](mailto:genome@nothere.net)> wrote:

>  
> *"Paul Burr ridge" <[pb@notthisbit.osiris1.co.uk](mailto:pb@notthisbit.osiris1.co.uk)> wrote in message*  
> *news:l8gok0p72cfvpjjor139fshe5flbrm9do@4ax.com...*  
>> *This is a prime example of what I've stated before many times. >*  
>  
>> *"One of the principle understandings with the theory gained is the*  
>> *explanations of why the behavior of men and women are fundamentally*  
>> *different. Why have codes of conduct developed that are decidedly*  
>> *asymmetrical? How has culture evolved and why dose much of it appear*  
>> *to have with no apparent biological evolutionary advantage?"*  
>  
>  
> *One of the principle results of the theory presented is the*  
> *explanation of why the behavior of men and women are fundamentally*  
> *different. Why have codes of conduct developed that are decidedly*  
> *asymmetrical? How has culture evolved and why does much of it appear*  
> *to have with no apparent biological evolutionary advantage?*  
  
> *Perhaps you should get off your fat ass and do something about it. You*  
> *quoted howlers and I see none, other than yourself.*

If that's your best attempt at correcting Kev's work then I wouldn't give up the day job, M8!

--

"What is now proved was once only imagin'd." - William Blake, 1793.