

Re: Ping Kevin Aylward – re your "scientific paper"

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004–09/4091.html>

From: John Fields (jfields_at_austininstruments.com)

Date: 09/18/04

Date: Sat, 18 Sep 2004 13:18:24 -0500

On Sat, 18 Sep 2004 17:34:20 GMT, "Kevin Aylward"

<salesEXTRACT@anasoft.co.uk> wrote:

>Clarence wrote:

>> *Just delete the entire thing, then no one can complain!*

>

>*In a way, I sort of agree, in the sense, that most of what I am writing*

>*should be trivially obvious, requiring no explanation at all. i.e.*

>

>*"That which is mostly observed, is that which replicates the most."*

>

>*The papers are knee high just to explain this, and what it means.*

>*Unfortunately, all those religious individuals don't see the forest for*

>*the trees are in the way.*

So what? Your job, as a writer, is to recognize and overcome that roadblock if you want your ideas to come alive in the minds of your readers, not to come up with excuses why you can't.

Religious and political views contrary to your own, a poor command of the language, and on and on, ad infinitum, are all roadblocks you must overcome if you expect to be read in the way you want to be read.

>The other issue, is that one's wives don't want to accept that we men >shag as many other women as possible when ever we can, either. They just >dont want to buy into that we are inherently programmed by our genes to >acting in said manner...

Ah, yessss!!!

"Well, your honor, I had that box of matches, so what else was I supposed to do???" ;^)

--

John Fields