

Re: Ping Kevin Aylward – re your "scientific paper"

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004–09/4278.html>

From: Ken Smith (kensmith_at_green.rahul.net)

Date: 09/19/04

Date: Sun, 19 Sep 2004 02:16:37 +0000 (UTC)

In article <%153d.868\$2A1.413@trnddc08>, Rich Grise <null@example.net> wrote:

> *On Saturday 18 September 2004 11:30 am, Kevin Aylward did deign to grace us
> with the following:*

>

>> *Clarence wrote:*

>...

>>> *Yet I am not religious, at least not in any conventional way. And
>>> your paper makes no sense to me at all.*

>>

>> *That's a lacking on your part, not mine. There are some trivial axioms,
>> some trivial mathematics, like 1.01^{1000} is a big number, and the rest
>> just falls out. Its so trivial, its unreal. Like, life can be explained
>> from just a simple principle of random generation, selection and
>> replication, that is, the Darwinian axioms, not Kevin's axioms.*

>>

>

> *OK, so, what's the force that causes inanimate matter to spontaneously
> reverse entropy and rearrange itself into living things?*

Dumb luck.

>

> *Does your theory tell us how you can do that in the lab?*

Yup, just get lucky in the lab and you may create a living thing.

--

--

kensmith@rahul.net forging knowledge