

## Re: OT: Bush BETRAYS US Military

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004-10/0634.html>

---

**From:** Fred Bloggs (*nospam\_at\_nospam.com*)

**Date:** 10/02/04

Date: Sat, 02 Oct 2004 09:58:05 GMT

John Larkin wrote:

> *On Fri, 01 Oct 2004 13:16:53 GMT, Fred Bloggs <nospam@nospam.com>*

> *wrote:*

>

>

>>*There really should have been a military advocate at last night's debate  
>>so we could watch him choke over the lies, deception, and hypocrisy of  
>>GW Bush regarding "strong unwavering leadership" and sending a "clear  
>>message to our troops". The troops have nothing but \*contempt\* for this  
>>so-called commander-in-chief who has done an incredible amount of harm  
>>to them.*

>>

>>[http://democrats.senate.gov/dpc/dpc-doc.cfm?doc\\_name=fs-108-2-199](http://democrats.senate.gov/dpc/dpc-doc.cfm?doc_name=fs-108-2-199)

>>

>>*July 22, 2004*

>>

>>*Has President Bush Honored His Commitments to Our Nation's Military?*

>>

>>*Has President Bush Honored His Commitments to Our Nation's Military?*

>>

>>*As he campaigned for the presidency in 2000, candidate Bush made a  
>>promise: "my good running mate Dick Cheney and I, have a message to all  
>>of our men and women in uniform and to their parents and to their  
>>families: Help is on the way." (11/3/00) Yet, during its term in office,  
>>the Bush Administration has repeatedly failed to deliver for our troops  
>>and their families. From failing to provide our troops the benefits and  
>>equipment they need to lengthy and repeated overseas deployments that  
>>keep them away from their families for long periods of time, the  
>>Administration has neglected the needs of our troops and their families.  
>>In President Bush's own words, "to point out that our military has been  
>>overextended, taken for granted and neglected, that's no criticism of*