

Re: If Kerry is elected...

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004-10/5151.html>

From: Jim Yanik (jyanik_at_abuse.gov.)

Date: 10/18/04

Date: 18 Oct 2004 15:54:42 GMT

Robert Monsen <rcsurname@comcast.net> wrote in
news:faHcd.259202\$MQ5.39637@attbi_s52:

> *Jim Yanik wrote:*

>> *<drivel>*

>

> *You can't refute anything I say, so you resort to name calling. Fine. I*

> *wasn't talking to you anyway, and could care less what you think.*

>

Excuse me, but in this post you neglected to include what "names" I am
alleged to have called you.

Here's the entire post;

Robert Monsen <rcsurname@comcast.net> wrote in
news:B8Ccd.409065\$Fg5.36432@attbi_s53:

> *Tom Seim wrote:*

>> *Being opposed to something is a good way to get things started. But*

>> *it's not going to get you across the finish line. By finish line I*

>> *mean actually accomplishing something tangible – not just getting*

>> *elected.*

>>

>> *I don't see that unanimity of purpose in liberals that exists amongst*

>> *conservatives. Liberals would be well advised to tone down the hate*

>> *and start thinking about what they want to accomplish. When you listen*

>> *to liberals talk about accomplishments they point out civil rights and*

>> *voting rights. I'm sorry, but this 25–30 years ago; what have you done*

>> *for me lately (like in the current generation). Not much. Bill Clinton*

>> *declared that there would be more legislation passed than since FDR*

>> *took office. Then Hillary took over.*

>>

>> *I've mentioned this before: what the liberals need is the equivalent*

>> *of Newt Gingrich's Contract With America. This was explicit, short and*

>> *succinct. And it was something by which they could be easily measured.*

>> *I am certain, however, that this will not happen: that would require*

>> *thought, cooperation and agreement. Not exactly the left's forte.*

>

sci.electronics.design: Re: If Kerry is elected...

- > *I'd argue that the liberal agenda is still active and alive; its just*
- > *that the "Contract with America" took aim at it, and used the religious*
- > *beliefs and greed of much of the populace, along with a vastly improved*
- > *machine for disinformation, as their weapon of choice.*
- >
- > *Most of the 'majority' of people who vote Republican have been*
- > *hoodwinked into thinking that they will be better off with lower taxes,*
- > *less government, less control on 'their rights'.*

Yes,that's right.A Government's proper duty is to provide a fair playing field.(after securing the citizens freedom and the country's borders)

- > *The reality,*
- > *unfortunately for them, is that they are far better off with a*
- > *progressive tax system,*

"progressive tax system";another name for socialism or Marxism.

Snip utopian speech.

- > *Thats the liberal ideal. Thats the idea that, unconsciously or*
- > *consiously, we all work for, even these capitalists with their*
- > *wrong-headed ideas of control. We all know in our hearts and minds that*
- > *hunger and poverty and disease can be eliminated. We've seen its*
- > *possibility blossom, in our lifetimes. We all know that we can rebuild*
- > *the garden. Its almost within reach. We just need to stretch out our*
- > *collective hands...*
- >

There's another Marxist term;"collective".

Who's this "we" you speak of?

--

Jim Yanik
jyanik-at-kua.net

So where's the "names" ?? I did NOT specifically call you anything.
I noted the use of Marxist terms.

Whatever.

Feel free to killfile me.

--

Jim Yanik
jyanik-at-kua.net