

Re: OT: If Kerry is elected...

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004-10/5946.html>

From: Jim Yanik (jyanik_at_abuse.gov.)

Date: 10/21/04

Date: 21 Oct 2004 00:19:20 GMT

Robert Monsen <rcsurname@comcast.net> wrote in
news:mpBdd.286272\$D%.197662@attbi_s51:

> *Jim Yanik wrote:*

>> *Rich Grise <rich@example.net> wrote in*

>> *news:pan.2004.10.20.04.03.20.606728@example.net:*

>>

>>

>>> *On Tue, 19 Oct 2004 15:21:40 +0000, Jim Yanik wrote:*

>>>

>>>

>>>> *Rich Grise <rich@example.net> wrote in*

>>>> *news:pan.2004.10.19.03.32.19.759702@example.net:*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> *On Mon, 18 Oct 2004 23:44:29 +0000, Jim Yanik wrote:*

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>> *Rich Grise <rich@example.net> wrote in*

>>>>>> *news:pan.2004.10.18.18.48.44.647170@example.net:*

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>>> *On Mon, 18 Oct 2004 16:02:54 +0000, Jim Yanik wrote:*

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> *Try*

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> <http://pittsburghlive.com/x/tribune-review/opinion/>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> *select "Answer this question, Mr. Kerry"*

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> *"If John Kerry wins, whose instructions will guide him?"*

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> *I dunno – maybe the voters? Whoever it is, it's gotta be better*

>>>>>>>> *than whoever's sitting at the Bush control panel.*

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> *Cheers!*

>>>>>>>> *Rich*

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>>WRT *US* National security, having the UN "guide" Kerry would be
>>>>>>extremely bad, for the entire world, whether they realize it or
>>>>>>not. And that's exactly what would happen with Kerry as Prez. He
>>>>>>even said so; "global test".

>>>>>

>>>>>I would like to know the source for your information here, as to
>>>>>what you predict Mr. Kerry will do. Thank you.

>>>>

>>>>When Saddam invaded Kuwait, Kerry voted against removing him, public
>>>>record. Saddam threatened US and global security, yet Kerry would have
>>>>allowed Saddam to have Kuwait, and probably Saudi Arabia next. That
>>>>would be a substantial part of the world's oil supply in SADDAM's
>>>>hands. Kerry's past behavior shows what he would do as Prez.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>You mean, he'd vote against invading Iraq again?

>>

>>

>> Are you dense? Kerry cannot be trusted to defend America.

>> He tends towards inaction. He's anti-military. He thinks he can be a

>> great statesman and persuade allies that said they will not do what

>> Kerry wants. He's more a Chamberlain than any statesman. One of the

>> Communist's "useful idiots".

>>

>>

>>

>

> You have no evidence except the rantings of right-wing radio to back

> any of this up.

Nonsense. It's all public record. Kerry worked with the enemy to end the Vietnam War, to the detriment of the US, our POWs, and the South Vietnamese people.

>

> Try to maintain some balance. Kerry was the only one of these two who

> saw combat in the military.

LMAO. 4 MONTHS, and he fraged himself.

He can't even get his story straight there, either. "Cambodia in

December", and sent by Nixon, who was not even President at that time.

You folks have BLINDERS on!

And his DD214 on his own website shows discrepancies. Nobody gets a review board for an ordinary honorable discharge. There's something being hidden there, that's why Kerry will not sign a Form 180.

> Kerry was against pork-barrel defense

> spending before 9/11, like everybody else (including Cheney, the

sci.electronics.design: Re: OT: If Kerry is elected...

- > *secretary of defense under GHW Bush). At the time, the important*
- > *problem to solve was bringing down the horrible, crippling deficit*
- > *that Reagan/Bush's 'voodoo economic' policies had built up (and which*
- > *they are again building up).*
- >
- > *The republicans want it both ways. They want to compare Kerry's*
- > *pre-9/11 voting record*

What ELSE is there to compare? Kerry's previous behavior and actions speak volumes about him. Kerry can SAY anything, but it means nothing. Empty promises.

- > *to Bush's post-9/11 stance. They also point*
- > *out, correctly, that 9/11 changed everything. Well, they can't have it*
- > *both ways and still maintain the appearance of intellectual honesty.*
- >

IMO, you lack that honesty.

--

Jim Yanik
jyanik-at-kua.net