

## Re: If Kerry is elected...

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004-10/7050.html>

---

**From:** Fred Bloggs (*nospam\_at\_nospam.com*)

**Date:** 10/25/04

Date: Mon, 25 Oct 2004 14:39:18 GMT

Tom Seim wrote:

> *rolavine@aol.com (Rolavine) wrote in message  
news:<20041024144220.00243.00001632@mb-m26.aol.com>...*

>

>>>*Subject: Re: If Kerry is elected...*

>>>*From: soar2morrow@yahoo.com (Tom Seim)*

>>>

>>>*rolavine@aol.com (Rolavine) wrote in message*

>>>

>>>>*From: soar2morrow@yahoo.com (Tom Seim)*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>*It's been that way for some time now. When Clinton was making a  
>>>>>mockery of the White House the typical lib refrain was "That was  
>>>>>between two consenting adults". Compare that to the libs demand that  
>>>>>DeLay resign as Majority Leader because of an "admonishment" by the  
>>>>>ethics committee.*

>>>>

>>>>>*Yeah, he only offered \$100K to a congressmans son for his political*

>>>>

>> *campaign,*

>>

>>>>>*for a vote. Heck nothing wrong with that right, wrong! This is not a bridge*

>>>>

>> *for*

>>

>>>>>*you district this is a bribe!*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>*So, your admitting your wrong on this one?*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>>*Then take the case of Trent Lott, who made the fatal  
>>>>>>sin of praising a man who had been a racist, Strom Thurmond. No  
>>>>>>apology by Lott could placate the libs, who smelled blood in the  
>>>>>>water.*

>>>>>

>>>>I think it was the Republican leadership and not the libs that told Trent  
>>>  
>> to go  
>>  
>>>>for a walk!  
>>>  
>>>You are correct, but only after a hue and cry from every corner of the  
>>>liberal world, including politicians, actors and pretend reverends.  
>>  
>>Oh, yeah, no real Black people were offended at all, they were too busy looking  
>>for chickens and growing watermellon, right Tom?  
>  
>  
> Boy, you sure are disrespectful to African–Americans. I am surprised  
> you wrote that. Personally, I would be ashamed if I had written that.

Why? It is a statement of your attitudes. You are very transparent as an arrogant elitist and racist. You better not go walking into a black neighborhoods if you know what's good for you.

>  
>  
>>>I  
>>>personally thought the GOP leadership misplaced their collective  
>>>backbones on this one.  
>>>  
>>  
>>I did too, and that was strange, maybe they had some other stuff on Lott and  
>>this was just a good place to dump him, who knows.

Nah– he deserved to be dumped for that single statement criticizing the past 50 years of Federal civil rights policy in this country.

>>  
>>  
>>>>I think most liberals were suprised because we didn't think what he  
>>>>said was so bad.  
>>>  
>>>That is precisely the point I am making. The vitriol coming from the  
>>>lib camp on this issue was truely amazing.  
>>>  
>>  
>> No, it wasn't, the Bush admin made a preemptive strike on Lott.  
>>  
>>>>Maybe Bush thought he could get some black votes.  
>>>  
>>>Bush thought he could, and did, get black votes before this "event".  
>>>And Bush's polling among blacks have doubled since 2000.  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>> Yeah up to 18%, whopping increase.

>>  
>>>>>*Only a resignation would do. And the bastards got it!*  
>>>>>  
>>>>  
>>  
>>  
>>>>>*Libs are not bothered in the least by an obvious, blatant lack of  
>>>>>balance in their statements and positions. This is the way it is, get  
>>>>>used to it because it ain't gonna change.*  
>>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>>*You right wing ideologues are really something. You mentioned Clinton, the  
>>>>  
>> man  
>>  
>>>>>that you righties hounded for years, and shut down the federal govt. for a  
>>>>  
>>>>year  
>>>>  
>>>>*I did think it was wrong for Clinton to shut down the government.*  
>>>>  
>>  
>>*Yeah, he should have resigned, however the rabid foaming in their pants  
>>Republican lynch mob was so completely out of line with the actual wrong doing  
>>in this case, that it makes the basis for your point nearly invisible by  
>>comparison.*  
>>  
>>  
>>>>>*to do your political attack work. Are neocons born without the concept of  
>>>>>hypocrisy in addition to being dishonorable?*  
>>>>>  
>>>>>*Rocky  
>>>>>I  
>>>>  
>>>>>I agree conservatives aren't as hypocritical or dishonorable as  
>>>>>liberals by orders of magnitude.*  
>>>>>  
>>  
>>*Not only have you proven my point, you doubled before I made my contract.  
>>Everything you side says is wrong, every charge you make against the other side  
>>is twice as valid when leveled back against you.*  
>>  
>>*In truth, your just a bunch of wankers that are out to look and talk tough, and  
>>pretend there are simple solutions to complex problems.*  
>>  
>>*Rocky  
>  
>  
> What is a "wanker", anyhow?  
>  
> I follow a principal that has been proven valid over and over again:**

> *KISS – Keep It Simple, Stupid!*

We can see that– you have successfully become both simple and stupid.

>

> *There are so many simple solutions that people tend to overlook them.*

> *For years conservatives have been saying that the solution to welfare*

> *moms is to put them to work. Liberals kept on resisting, coming up*

> *with excuses for these people. Well, finally one President saw the*

> *light. And guess who that was: Clinton! Now, the majority of these*

> *moms are back to work and we have broken the cycle of welfare*

> *enslavement. This was probably one of Clinton's greatest achievements.*

> *And I salute him for it.*

>

> *Tom*

Clinton's welfare reform was wholly different from any Republican proposal, it is nothing the GOP can take credit for.