

## Re: OT: Scott Ridder on Fallujah

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004-11/3364.html>

---

**From:** John Fields ([jfields\\_at\\_austininstruments.com](mailto:jfields_at_austininstruments.com))

**Date:** 11/11/04

Date: Thu, 11 Nov 2004 12:23:38 -0600

On 11 Nov 2004 02:54:16 -0800, [bill.sloman@ieee.org](mailto:bill.sloman@ieee.org) (Bill Sloman) wrote:

>*John Fields <[jfields@austininstruments.com](mailto:jfields@austininstruments.com)> wrote in message news:<[31q4p0psei96190kovpph3ne5bvq2vi8ji@4ax.com](mailto:31q4p0psei96190kovpph3ne5bvq2vi8ji@4ax.com)>...*

>>

>> *What drives them is the love they have for their leaders, but what*

>> *drives their leaders is just plain old greed and lust for power and*

>> *hatred for anyone who opposes their getting what they want.*

>

>*We are talking about your relationship with Dubbya here, aren't we*

---

Hardly, and you're either getting senile in your old age or just being disingenuous. I'll vote for disingenuous, since you must remember that in the very recent past we voted for the lesser of two weevils and there was very little love lost in the process, very little being there to start with.

---

>> Kind of like liberals; spoiled children run amuck. What they really want is  
>> the utter destruction of everything that doesn't fit into their narrow  
>> viewpoint of who's OK in their book,

>

>Dubbya, as his father's son, really wanted to stick it to Saddam  
>Hussein, and has exploited his high position to waste a large number  
>of American lives (and a much larger number of Iraki lives) and a  
>great deal of money to satisfy this childish ambition.

---

So say you, but what you tout as truth is just another opinion, which with a dollar or so will get you a cup of coffee somewhere.

---

>It hasn't done  
>a thing to improve U.S. security

---

I disagree. It's now, AIIUI, much harder to get into this country for the purpose of doing mischief than it used to be, and visitors are subject to greater scrutiny while they're here. In addition, things which once weren't being watched very closely, like our borders and our airports, are now also under greater scrutiny.

---

>in fact, by encouraging disgruntled  
>Arabs to sign on with Al Qaeda, it has probably increased the risk of

sci.electronics.design: Re: OT: Scott Ridder on Fallujah

>second 11/7.

---

If we'd merely absorbed the first one do you think that would have decreased the risk of a second one?

Surely you can't be idiotic enough to believe that appeasement works. Even though we've been subjected to ever-increasing violence from the "disgruntled" and taken the bombing of a marine barracks in stride, and the bombing of a couple of embassies, and an attempt to blow up a ship, and the WTC the first time, and the list goes on, we can't, and won't, take it forever.

Regardless of what you and the rest of our detractors think, we're not an infinite sink and the only reason we let that crap go on for so long is because we always try for a diplomatic solution to difficulties. But there are limits, and once the line is drawn in the sand, as far as I'm concerned, that's it.

---

>> and that's you, Frank, and it's

>> me, and it's everyone else who has what they resent, a life.

>> Basically, they have nothing except their pitiful lives, so what can >> be taken away from them to make them stop? You figure it out.

>> ---

>

>In Dubbya's case, the high office. You just blew it.

---

I blew nothing. What you're saying is that if W was out of there they would magically stop fighting, and if you mean it you're a bigger idiot than you appear to be. Do you think Kerry could have wound the war down? Hardly. That namby-pamby loser riddled with guilt from his escapades in Viet Nam would only make it seem like we had lost our resolve and put us all in a more precarious position by giving the enemy hope.

---

>> >The US is driving them up the wall. The US only increases

>> >terrorism and extremist muslim behaviour.

>>

>> ---

>> No, it's always been there, like a nasty boil that's been festering >> for years, waiting to come to the surface. All we're doing is >> bringing it to a head so we can get rid of it.

>> ---

>

>That's your theory. To the rest of us it is just a routine case of >fools rushing in where angels fear to tread.

---

"The rest of us?" LOL! You certainly seem to have an overinflated sense of self-importance, unilaterally declaring yourself to be the spokesman for millions and millions who, as a matter of fact, disagree with your stance. Or, perhaps more properly phrased, "your repose".

---

>> ---

>> What's happened is that the virus is now wise to the fact that it's >> been found out and it's trying to kill its host before we can figure >> out how to get rid of it.

>> ---

>

>Neglecting the fact that the "virus" in Irak was only killing Irakís,

---

"only killing Irakís"? Interesting choice of words...

---

sci.electronics.design: Re: OT: Scott Ridder on Fallujah

>and nowhere near as many of them as you have done for, and your  
>pointless invasion has now dispersed it around the world where it  
>threatens to become an epidemic which you don't seem to have clue how  
>to control - talk about opening Pandora's box ....

---

The box was already opened, then closed for a long time. What we've  
done is open it for the second time.

---

>> >> "Sorry, Uncle Sam, we can't help you right now but call us when the  
>> >> bread is baked and we'll help you to eat it"...

>

>Theo van Gogh got to eat some of the bread you baked, as did a bunch  
>of Spanish commuters earlier this year, and some Australian tourists  
>on Bali in 2002 ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2002\\_Bali\\_terrorist\\_bombing](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2002_Bali_terrorist_bombing)).

---

So, everybody in the world should kow-tow to al-Qaeda's demands for  
the destruction of the US in order to gain themselves a little  
temporary safety? Until al-Qaeda later decrees that some other  
infidel country is on their hit list? And then...???

---

>We'd much prefer not to be sharing the results of your rash adventure.

---

You don't want to share the risk, but you won't mind sharing the the  
reward, huh?

--

John Fields