

Re: Galvanische Trennung ?!

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2004-11/4312.html>

From: Jonathan Kirwan (jkirwan_at_easystreet.com)

Date: 11/16/04

Date: Tue, 16 Nov 2004 01:17:42 GMT

On Mon, 15 Nov 2004 22:31:16 GMT, Joerg <notthisjoergsch@removethispacbell.net> wrote:

>Hi Jonathan,

>

>>hehe. I wasn't indicating ownership, just ease of reading. Although I can't
>>produce German well, I can read it fluently. Just a little practice is all it
>>takes. The words start picking right up and the verb order gets "normal" soon
>>enough. Conjugation isn't hard, either, from a reader's perspective. I now
>>often read Deutsche Welle, "auf Deutch."

>>

>

>That is a great way to learn a language. You can also listen to DW on
>the web but I still prefer the shortwave receiver.

I do listen to DW via shortwave, though the speech is sometimes too fast for me to follow. Also, I listened to the world broadcasts from Moscow and a variety of other places where English is available. The news services here are so much the controlled *sameness* as each other that the only way to have even a slight chance at getting some balance is to listen to many different slants and then forge an amalgam of your own that provides that balanced, comprehensive viewpoint.

(It's really pretty sad, though, for US news sources, which you'd well imagine could provide substantial variety and a great deal of depth for all the time and money they soak up. But you can turn to different broadcast news programs in the US and get almost exactly the same story with exactly the same slant at exactly the same clock time. No variation --- might as well have only one service. This is particularly put into context when you listen to shortwave.)

>Sometimes I listen to

>Spanish radio on AM, which could be as much as a third of the stations
>out here in California. The problem is that their 'bit rate' is at least
>twice as high as ours. That goes even higher when they comment a soccer
>game.

hehe. I've learned almost no Spanish, though. I suppose I should try.

>>I don't use the web browser, at all. Yuk.

>>

>>What I do is simply set up my account there for sending all the posts as email

>>to my email account. I then set up Agent to filter on the subject and

>>automatically toss these into a special folder just for this group. Agent

>>automatically threads and date sorts them for me. Works just like reading a

>>newsgroup, that way.

>>

>

>I thought about that but then I didn't want a flood of stuff going

>through my email account. Also, it makes the whole process so

>non-realtime. I wish they were allowing newsreader access just like

>Cadsoft does with their support forums. Or maybe TI should have rather

>done that directly. I find that this page flipping on the web interface

>for the MSP group is terrible. I have no idea why companies like

>National or TI didn't embrace the well established Usenet protocol.

It's not a "flood," so don't worry about that. Yeah, NNTP would be nice. But I think there is something going on here about allowing these groups to be private and variously controlled by their moderators, so perhaps NNTP fails on this score.

Jon