

## Re: Peterson's Death Sentence

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-01/5407.html>

---

*uvccet\_at\_juno.com*

**Date:** 01/20/05

Date: Thu, 20 Jan 2005 08:06:11 -0700

In <41efc801\$1\$woehfu\$mr2ice@news.aros.net>, on 01/20/05  
at 07:57 AM, uvccet@juno.com said:

>In <3595jsF4j4g2sU3@individual.net>, on 01/20/05  
> at 02:40 AM, "Aunty Kreist" <Aunty\_Kreist@satanickittens.net> said: >>  
>>> *If killing an unborn child is not murder, how come scotty boy is gonna  
>die >> for doing it?*

>>Um....because he also killed the human the fetus was attached to.

>Uh, no. The death penalty was imposed because he committed TWO murders.  
>If the baby inside his wife had not been killed, or was not considered to  
>be a living being, they jury could not have imposed the death sentence.

>So we can see, that it is murder to kill a baby inside the mother. When  
>is it a baby? Go ahead and argue that one for the rest of your life.  
>Logic will answer that question to anyone who really considers it.