

## Re: Peterson's Death Sentence

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-01/6961.html>

---

**From:** Parse Tree (*account\_at\_domain.extension*)

**Date:** 01/25/05

Date: Tue, 25 Jan 2005 21:22:29 GMT

Noah Roberts wrote:

> *Kevin Aylward wrote:*

>

>>*Noah Roberts wrote:*

>>

>>>*Kevin Aylward wrote:*

>>>

>>>

>>>>*The issue is that you don't understand the \*implications\* of your arguments. This is typically with the religious, e.g not realising*

>

> *an*

>

>>>>*"all powerful god" is logically self contradictory, hence a false idea.*

>>>

>>>*How so?*

>>

>>*Here we go..*

>>

>>*If god is all powerful he can make a weight that is so heavy no one*

>

> *can*

>

>>*lift it, including god. If it is so heavy, and god himself can't lift*

>

>

>>*it, then he cant be all powerful. This contradicts the original*

>>*assumption, hence the original assumption must be false.*

>

>

> *After consideration I believe your argument to be flawed.*

>

> *You assume that the laws of this universe apply to God, when God is*

> *very likely not bound by these rules. Like I said before, since God*

> *made the Universe he probably is not contained by it as we are. What*

> *is outside of the universe is not necessarily bound by the laws of it.*

>

sci.electronics.design: Re: Peterson's Death Sentence

- > *Same applies to any argument about infinity...you assume that God is*
- > *bound by the same linear rules of time and space as we are, yet since*
- > *he /created both/ I seriously doubt that to be so, and obviously cannot*
- > *be assumed.*
- >
- > *We cannot possibly know what rules God operates under, if any, since we*
- > *are bound to the universe and its rules whereas he/she/it is not. The*
- > *rules of logic may apply, but the rules governing mass, distance, and*
- > *time probably do not.*
- >
- > *Basically what I am saying is that your logic is correct, but is based*
- > *on a premise that is not obviously true and probably isn't. Therefor*
- > *the conclusion does not follow. And since there are no premises we can*
- > *say are true about anything that exists outside of the universe I don't*
- > *see how you can apply logic to arrive at any valid conclusion about*
- > *God's existance.*

None of these comments could in any way alter the fact that God is logically impossible, and therefore logically proven to not exist.