

## Re: Save the Hubble

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-02/3139.html>

---

**From:** Don Pearce ([donald\\_at\\_pearce.uk.com](mailto:donald_at_pearce.uk.com))

**Date:** 02/12/05

Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2005 16:47:08 GMT

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 16:44:02 +0000 (UTC), [kensmith@green.rahul.net](mailto:kensmith@green.rahul.net)  
(Ken Smith) wrote:

>In article <Xns95FAD70382B3Cjyanikkuanet@129.250.170.84>,  
>Jim Yanik <[jyanik@abuse.gov](mailto:jyanik@abuse.gov)> wrote:  
>[...]  
>>Two Shuttles fail, and instead of making a better spacecraft, they decide  
>>they don't need to fix Hubble. (and some want to abandon the ISS)  
>  
>The Russians have already proven that you can put a tin-can in space and  
>have people live in it for a while. ISS hasn't advanced from that point.  
>It is a waste of money on a non-science project.  
>  
>If a space station was spun up to give a gravity effect and was a place to  
>assemble large missions to the outer planets it may have a purpose. Today  
>it just exists as a place for the shuttles to go and the shuttles just  
>exist to go there. Both have become near useless.  
>  
>--

Saw an interesting interview with an astronaut/cosmonaut recently. The interviewer asked him how they spent their time while not actually working. "Trying not to die" was the answer.

d

Pearce Consulting

<http://www.pearce.uk.com>