

Re: XP is great

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-04/msg02518.html>

- *From:* "Frithiof Andreas Jensen" <frithiof.jensen@xx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 13 Apr 2005 13:54:33 +0200
-

"Mark Jones" <abuse@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:Do6dnU3-G8SPacbfRVn-hw@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
> What the hell are you guys doing to corrupt your WinXP installs? I've
heard bad
> reports about the SP2, but other than that XP is more stable and robust
than 2K.

They are "Customizing It" – the root of all Evil!

The OS'es and Apps (Linux & Windows) allude to being user-customizable by
giving free access to many, many options; However, any combination of
applications, OS, patches, and options is a new configuration that one
should really Test and Verify.

This is Impossible to do before the sun becomes a lump of coal, so only the
Default can be assumed to have been tried by enough people to hit most bugs.

Having being bitten many times by a few characters different in some obscure
config file, We now blow a lot of time here on nitting with versioning and
change control for *everything* for systems integrated into embedded
Linux'en so that they do not fail in the field (<joke except boxes in Hawaii
and the one in Rio /joke> ;-).

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [Re: XP is great](#)
◇ *From:* classd101
 - *References:*
 - ◆ [XP is garbage](#)
◇ *From:* John Larkin
 - ◆ [Re: XP is great](#)
◇ *From:* Mark Jones

Re: XP is great

- Prev by Date: [*Re: XP is great*](#)
- Next by Date: [*Re: Embedded System in Noisy Environment*](#)
- Previous by thread: [*Re: XP is great*](#)
- Next by thread: [*Re: XP is great*](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)