

Re: XP is great

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-04/msg02583.html>

- *From:* learning@xxxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Wed, 13 Apr 2005 13:04:31 -0600
-

In <425d3971\$0\$73732\$edfadb0f@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, on 04/13/05 at 05:23 PM, "Frithiof Andreas Jensen" <frithiof.jensen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

>> You are just a microsoft shill. Lots of them live in newsgroups to spread
>> the 'good news' that XP is great.

>And You are just a lame flamer who are too pussy-whipped from being the
>last kid collected in kindergarten to even dare have a name to hang your
>random babble onto. There, I can do names too. happy?

Good, I got your attention. That's better now.

>> People who use it, and dislike it, are
>> not going to be paying much attention to someone who talks like a
>> telemarketer, phrase after phrase after phrase.

>Like I care – as if people who perpetually whinge and whine, all the
>while still putting up with whatever they whine about are known to pay
>attention; hell, they are not even listening to themselves fer
>ricecakes.

If you don't care, why did you let me get under your skin, and get you to drop down to my level? That was the plan, and you did good.

>>
>> When you explain, from a purely technical point of view, why an OS would
>> have any problems when an application is located somewhere besides the
>> default, then you can gain some credibility, but a disk location is a disk
>> location, and even the mighty XP cannot look at the table, and decide that
>> something is not where it wants it to be, especially when the installation
>> program allows for someone to put stuff in a 'non standard' location.

>> I am dying to hear why an XP machine with Photoshop on
>> C:\documents\apps\stuff\downhere\underneath\settings\othercrap\lookatme\adobe\bin\exe\files\photoshop
>> will run rock solid, and one with photoshop on D:\photoshop will crash and
>> burn twice a day.

Re: XP is great

>A Wild Guess:

No wild guesses allowed. I asked for the technical reason. You see, you ranted about people who like to do things their own way, and unless you can come up with a real, justifiable explanation for why choosing a custom option causes problems, you have no credibility. You are just touting something you don't understand.

Do you really believe that M\$ would subject themselves to the grief they get, if they could cure the problem by simply taking away some of the choices people make? You need to get a better understanding of where XP came from, and how M\$ does coding. Once you grasp that, you will see that it has little to do with where the software is on the drive, or which icon you clicked, and more to do with just heaping lines of code on top of lines of bad code, resulting in more bloat, and potential problems.

Lots of people like XP. Lots of people hate it for lots of reasons. You think it's only okay for people like you to rave about it, and those who are pissed at it, and dislike it, are not allowed to voice their opinion?

Unless you have installed it and run it on hundreds of PCs, using hundreds of apps in dozens of ways, all you have is an opinion. That is great, as we are all entitled, but when you speak, you must speak either as that opinion, or as an expert, and there are no experts on XP. The user base is too large for anyone to claim to be able to know how it runs, and how it works across all those user bases, under all circumstances. Therefore, all you have is an opinion, and I have mine.

When you can come and say that putting a program on another drive does "yadda yadda yadda" to the registry, and causes crashes, then call M\$ and let them know, as I am sure they would be interested.

Until then, cease to call those who install, use it, crash it, and decide to hate it, any names, and cast no dispersions upon them, for you do not know if they are crashing because of how they install, or because the software sucks. You cannot declare with any credibility that if one installs everything default, and does nothing but run the apps in a 'standard mode' that XP will function perfectly. That is just simply not true, based on comments here, and all over the net, and the office. Some combos are pretty solid, others are not so lucky.

That is about all the facts there are. The rest is just your opinion, to which you are certainly most welcome.

Just because XP is not as bad as that trash known as W9* does not qualify it to be considered anything but barely adequate.

People like you, and jerks like me, deserve a heck of a lot better than XP after all these years. If in twenty or so years, XP is the best we can expect, then it sure does not deserve many pats on the back, for it is truly an embarrassment to the PC community to have to deal with it.

Re: XP is great

JB

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ *Re: XP is great*
◇ *From:* Mark Jones
- ◆ *Re: XP is great*
◇ *From:* Ted Edwards

• *References:*

- ◆ *XP is garbage*
◇ *From:* John Larkin
- ◆ *Re: XP is great*
◇ *From:* Mark Jones
- ◆ *Re: XP is great*
◇ *From:* learning
- ◆ *Re: XP is great*
◇ *From:* Frithiof Andreas Jensen
- ◆ *Re: XP is great*
◇ *From:* learner
- ◆ *Re: XP is great*
◇ *From:* Frithiof Andreas Jensen

- Prev by Date: *Re: XP is garbage*
- Next by Date: *PIC Simulator*
- Previous by thread: *Re: XP is great*
- Next by thread: *Re: XP is great*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*