

Re: Radar Jamming

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-04/msg03186.html>

- *From:* "Ken Taylor" <ken@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 16 Apr 2005 17:30:19 +1200
-

"Keith Williams" <krw@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:MPG.1cca5269465d8a739899db@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
> In article <[g4Y7e.19123\\$1S4.1904552@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:g4Y7e.19123$1S4.1904552@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>, ken@xxxxxxx
> says...
>> "Jim Thompson" <thegreatone@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
>> news:s71061p39gf22f90kju1tgju1snahv7nb@xxxxxxxxxx
>>> On Fri, 15 Apr 2005 10:37:02 -0700, Bob Stephens <roberts@xxxxxxxxxx>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On Fri, 15 Apr 2005 17:21:13 GMT, Richard the Dreaded Libertarian
wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 22:23:32 -0700, Jim Thompson wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> I got an "energy ticket"... back in the Carter double-nickel days
>>>>>> ;-)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Let's not blame Jimmy for what was actually an Imperial Edict from
your
>>>>>>>> fair-haired proto-neocon, Richard M. Nixon, AKA Trickee Dickee.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Thanks,
>>>>>>>> Rich
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> I thought the '55 saves lives' campaign was bogus, but I still kind
of
>> like
>>>> the idea - if you must arbitrarily set the limit at 55 - of anything
>>>> between 55 and 75 being an energy offence as opposed to an insurance
>> hiking
>>>> moving violation and crime against humanity. Sort of like the pay to
>>>> pollute gambit for industry.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Bob
>>>>
>>>>> I ran across an article just a few days ago debunking '55 saves
>>>>> lives', I'll see if I can locate it again.
>>>>

Re: Radar Jamming

>>> We (in Arizona) are about to raise all freeway speed limits to 75,
>>> which is the "granny" speed around here.
>>>
>>> I understand they've been nailing people right and left doing 110+ on
>>> Loop 101 ;-)
>>>
>>> ...Jim Thompson
>>> --
>> I drove from Green Bay to Milwaukee on whatever highway that is just
before
>> Christmas – not only do you guys drive on the wrong side of the road (I
can
>> live with that), and not only at 80mph (that's cool....), but there was
SNOW
>> on the road too! Who the hell has snow on a road?? Distinctly
>> uncivilised.....
>
> Bah! Snow is easy to drive on. Ice, OTOH...
>
> I tell my wife that I have a 4WD truck, so I can go anywhere. It even
> has the off-road package so I can go off the road.
>
> --
> Keith

That's odd, people weren't using trucks off the road when I went by....

Ken

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
◇ From: keith

• *References:*

- ◆ **Radar Jamming**
◇ From: Harvz
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
◇ From: Andy
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
◇ From: A.Nonny Mouse
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
◇ From: Mac
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
◇ From: Ken Taylor
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
◇ From: Jim Thompson

Re: Radar Jamming

- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
 - ◇ From: Keith Williams
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
 - ◇ From: Jim Thompson
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
 - ◇ From: Richard the Dreaded Libertarian
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
 - ◇ From: Bob Stephens
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
 - ◇ From: Jim Thompson
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
 - ◇ From: Ken Taylor
- ◆ **Re: Radar Jamming**
 - ◇ From: Keith Williams

- Prev by Date: **Re: Why are restaurant RF gizmos so complicated?**
- Next by Date: **Re: OT: Dogbert's New Ruling Class Newsletter, April, 2005**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Radar Jamming**
- Next by thread: **Re: Radar Jamming**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**