

Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-05/msg00532.html>

- *From:* kensmith@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Ken Smith)
 - *Date:* Wed, 4 May 2005 14:09:47 +0000 (UTC)
-

In article <Xns964C23BCDA4Djyanikkuanet@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, Jim Yanik <jyanik@xxxxxxxx> wrote:
>kensmith@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Ken Smith) wrote in
>[news:d5981h\\$N23\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:d5981h$N23$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx):

>
>> In article <Xns964BD191631CDjyanikkuanet@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, >> Jim Yanik <jyanik@xxxxxxxx> wrote:
>> [...]
>>>And then we left and they governed themselves.
>>
>> So, the US is finally pulling out of Texas. I'm glad to hear it.
>
>Didn't Texas vote to join the US?

Texas was taken away from Mexico by force of arms.

>> Chile and Mexico make two examples to disprove your claim.
>
>We do not rule either of those countries.
>Could you elucidate on Chile?

Texas was part of Mexico. It was taken away in a war. The US is still there.

Chile certainly wasn't done in the interests of the people who lived there. The US aided in the overthrow of a popular government and its replacement with a murderous one because an american fruit company was threatened.

Now, can you suggest two places where the US sent in troops for the good of the people living there? I suggest two because I can think of one where it could be claimed if you stretch a point. You suggested this was always the case, so you should have a large number of examples.

>IIRC,the creation of the Panama Canal.We don't rule that country either.
>Not even the Canal Zone anymore.I'm sure they have been and are far better
>off than if the US had never made the Canal,or ignored them.

Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target

The US took land by force of arms to create the canal. It was done for US interests not the good of the people who lived there. It is another example that disproves your suggestion. BTW: Since we can't run the history both ways, we will never know what the locals would have done without the canal.

>I'm surprised you haven't brought up Vietnam.

Why would you be surprised? With so many other examples I don't feel the need to?

BTW: Falling dominos was the reason not anything to do with the good of the locals.

--
--

kensmith@xxxxxxxxx forging knowledge

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target**
◇ From: John Larkin
- ◆ **Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target**
◇ From: John S. Dyson
- ◆ **Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target**
◇ From: Jim Thompson
- ◆ **Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target**
◇ From: Jim Thompson

• *References:*

- ◆ **Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target**
◇ From: Jim Yanik
- ◆ **Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target**
◇ From: Ken Smith
- ◆ **Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target**
◇ From: Jim Yanik

- Prev by Date: **Re: Proposed Assembler Commands**
- Next by Date: **Re: Repairing paper caps**
- Previous by thread: **Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target**
- Next by thread: **Re: OT: Canada Agrees to be Missile Target**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**