

Re: Parts Numbering Scheme

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-06/msg01077.html>

- *From:* Guy Macon <[_see.web.page_@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](#)>
 - *Date:* Wed, 08 Jun 2005 08:46:03 +0000
-

I worked for a Japanese company that started off with a system where their part number was whatever the part number of the vendor who sold it to them was. Of course you can predict what happened next; two vendors making two parts, both called 98243, followed by a new numbering system.

I asked them how the system could have possibly worked in Japan, and they told me they simply asked the vendors not to use the same part numbers...

• *References:*

- ◆ [*Parts Numbering Scheme*](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Roger Lascelles
- Prev by Date: [*Re: Parts Numbering Scheme*](#)
- Next by Date: [*Re: Geometrically distributed random numbers on Rabbit 2000.*](#)
- Previous by thread: [*Re: Parts Numbering Scheme*](#)
- Next by thread: [*Re: Parts Numbering Scheme*](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)