

Re: another dead HD, which do you use?

## Re: another dead HD, which do you use?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2005-06/msg02361.html>

---

- *From:* John Popelish <jpopelish@xxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Fri, 17 Jun 2005 11:26:51 -0400
- 

martin griffith wrote:

2 HD's dead this month, googleling for "Hard Drive Reliabilty" gives zillions of pages of adverts saying how reliable Our HD's are. Even tomshardware didnt go into it in detail

What do you use?

BTW I notice that my latest drive must not be exposed to more than 350G's of shock. I'd accept 100G's if they lasted longer...

The trouble with this information is that by the time you have established that any brand or model has long term reliability, the design has changed. That said, I have had very good results with 3 or 4 Western Digital Caviar EIDE drives. They have all lasted in excess of 5 years (some used almost every day) and are still doing fine. They are not the high spindle speed versions, however, and all the new ones seem to run at 7200 RPM.

.